Appendix A

Core Team Materials





Town of Townsend Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Planning Grant

Core Team Meeting, Skype Call Meeting Tuesday, March 24, 2020, 11:00 AM-12:00 PM

| Introductions | 2 minutes |
|--|------------|
| Project Overview | 10 minutes |
| Goal Setting and Endorsement | 15 minutes |
| Community Resilience Building Workshop and Review of Materials | 15 minutes |
| Workshop Participants | 15 minutes |
| Wrap Up and Next Steps | 3 minutes |





Town of Townsend Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Planning Grant

Core Team Meeting, Skype Call Meeting Tuesday, March 24, 2020, 11:00 AM-12:00 PM

Introductions

Town:

- o Beth Faxon, Planning and Zoning Board Administrator 978.697.1556
- o Mark Boynton, Townsend Fire Chief
- o Jay Sartell, Townsend Chief of Police
- o David Henkels, Conservation Administrator
- o Jim Smith, DPW Superintendent
- o Lance McNally, Planning Board Chairman
- o Veronica Kell, Planning Board Clerk
- o Susan McNally, Library Trustees
- o Wayne Miller, Board of Selectmen
- o Becky McEnroe, Townsend Interim Water superintendent
- o Brad Morgan, Schools
- Weston & Sampson
 - o Amanda Kohn
 - o Steve Roy
 - o John Frey
- MVP Regional Coordinator
 - o Hillary King
 - hillary.king@state.ma.us
 - 617.655.3913

Project Overview

- Interest in keeping the momentum moving forward online. There is some concern about getting folks set up to participate virtually.
- Tuesdays are the best time to meet. Possibly a workshop on April 14th 3 webinars on the different features

Goal Setting and Endorsement

• Core Team will review after call

Weston & Sampson

1

20 minutes

5 minutes

2 minutes

Community Resilience Building Workshop and Review of Materials

Hazards Questions

•

- Top hazards:
 - Extreme Temps
 - Cost of electricity is a concern
 - Drought and brush fire
 - Flooding
 - Snow storms
- Where does it flood in town?
- What is your biggest concern when there is a 95-degree day?
- What is your biggest concern during a large storm event?
- What did you do during the 2016 drought?
- Critical Facilities List Confirm:
 - Check list of facilities and addresses
 - closest hospital/urgent care
 - Nashoba Valley Regional Hospital in Ayer
 - Leominster Hospital
 - Emerson Hospital & Urgent Care in Milford, NH
 - there are no hotel/motels
 - low-income/housing authority properties
 - Any planned evacuation routes

Workshop Participants

- Should other board and committees be included?
 - o Board of Health
- What local organizations should be included?
 - o Squannacook River Association
 - o Nashua River Watershed Association
 - o Squannacook Greenway
 - o Local media
 - o Townsend Conservation Land Trust
- Do you work with any state agencies regularly? Who do you work with?
 o Anne Gagnon, MA Fish and Wildlife
- Do you work with neighboring towns? Who are your contacts?
 - There are some mutual aid agreements between police departments and there is a county wide one.
 - Relationship with North Eastern MA Law Enforcement Council, Boston Area Police Emergency Radio Network
- Need email addresses for contacts

Wrap Up and Next Steps

3 minutes

Weston(&)Sampson

15 minutes

15 minutes

| From: Kohn, Amanda To: Guest; +1 (978) 727-3645; Guest; +1 (978) 860-6667; Guest; Frey, John; Guest; Guest; Guest; Guest; 41 (617) 655-3913; Roy, Steven; Guest; +1 (978) 479-9123; Kohn, Amanda; Guest; +1 (978) 597-17 Cupst: +1 (617) 655-3913; Roy, Steven; Guest; +1 (978) 479-9123; Kohn, Amanda; Guest; +1 (978) 597-17 | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Subject: Date: | Guest: +1 (978) 597-6214; Guest: +1 (978) 597-8517 Townsend Core Team Meeting Tuesday, March 24, 2020 12:10:11 PM | | | |
| James Sartell 11:01 A | M: | | | |
| I'm on both | | | | |
| Hillary 11:14 AM: | | | | |
| Sorry it took some been questions for | trying to get onto the call I'm here for another 15 minutes or so, please let me know if there have • the program so far | | | |
| Roy, Steven 11:14 AN Thanks Hillary. | A: | | | |
| Beth Faxon 11:14 AN | l: | | | |
| all set here thanks! | | | | |
| James Sartell 11:15 A | M: | | | |
| I can hear just fine | | | | |
| Lance & Susan 11:15 | AM: | | | |
| No issues on our e | nd | | | |
| James Sartell 11:15 A | M: | | | |
| NO ISSUES WITH SCRE | | | | |
| thank you Hillon | I: | | | |
| | | | | |
| l've got to head or | nto another meeting now. I'm sorry I missed the introductions this morning. Beth et. al., please reach | | | |
| out if there is anyth 617-655-3913 | ning I can help you with re: MVP, I'm here for technical assistance and your direct link to the EEA. | | | |
| Veronica 11:31 AM: | | | | |
| Extreme Temp | os and the cost of electricity in town | | | |
| Lance & Susan 11:31 | AM: | | | |
| brush fires | | | | |
| Lance & Susan 11:31 | AM: | | | |
| flooding | | | | |
| Beth Faxon 11:32 AN | 1: | | | |
| extreme temps and | d drought | | | |
| Veronica 11:32 AM: | | | | |
| snow storms a | nd loss of electricity | | | |
| Mark Boynton 11:34 | AM: | | | |
| Nashoba Valle | y Regional Hospital in Ayer. Leominster Hospital. | | | |
| Veronica 11:35 AM: | | | | |
| Also, Emersor | i Hospital & Urgent Care in Milford, NH | | | |
| Mark Boynton 11:35 | AM: | | | |
| Two elderly he | ousing projects on Dudley rd. | | | |
| Mark Boynton 11:36 | AM: | | | |
| Two power su | bstations in town | | | |
| Beth Faxon 11:36 AM | 1: | | | |
| are cell towers incl | uded as critical facilities? | | | |
| Roy, Steven 11:37 AM | Л: | | | |
| yes, Beth. cell to | wers are critical facilities | | | |
| Beth Faxon 11:41 AN | 1: | | | |
| squannacook river | association | | | |

Veronica 11:41 AM: Nashua River Watershed Association Veronica 11:41 AM: Squannacook Greenway Lance & Susan 11:41 AM: nashoba board of health Veronica 11:41 AM: **Townsend Conservation Land Trust** Beth Faxon 11:42 AM: local media? Veronica 11:43 AM: Anne Gagnon, MA Fish and Wildlife James Sartell 11:44 AM: Mutual aid agreements between police departments. There is a county wide one as well. James Sartell 11:45 AM: Also have relationship with North Eastern Massachusetts Law Enforcement Council, Boston Area Police Emergency Radio Network James Sartell 11:48 AM: Any information as to moving out these dates? James Sartell 11:48 AM: due to public health issue going on? Beth Faxon 11:52 AM: I'd prefer to work online Veronica 11:52 AM: If it is online, it is good to be able to see people who are participating as opposed to phone only. Mark Boynton 11:52 AM: Online vote for Fire James Sartell 11:52 AM: Online Veronica 11:52 AM: If the public health issues subside, I prefer face to face. Dave Henkels 11:52 AM: On line Lance & Susan 11:53 AM: We support on line. James Sartell 11:53 AM: we may be limited due to technology (microphones/cameras etc.) James Sartell 11:53 AM: I agree with Veronica. Better face to face and if not possible cameras/mics Beth Faxon 11:54 AM: if we do the 3 one-hour webinars, what are the dates you want to pencil in? Mark Boynton 11:54 AM: My apologies for going late and somewhat disengaged this morning. We are swamped with COVID19 challenges. This plan and process is very important to Fire-EMS. I would prefer we stay on tract and not extend the timeline. Beth Faxon 11:55 AM: yes

yes Dave Henkels 11:55 AM: yes Veronica 11:55 AM:

Tuesdays are good for me

Lance & Susan 11:55 AM: yes James Sartell 11:56 AM: Good for me too. Mark Boynton 11:56 AM: Yes Tuesday work for Fire-EMS Beth Faxon 11:56 AM:

thank you Lance & Susan 11:56 AM: Thank You as well Mark Boynton 11:57 AM:

Thank you

Veronica 11:57 AM:

Just to confirm, everything we need to respond to is in today's email? Thank you!

James Sartell 11:57 AM: Thank you. Roy, Steven 11:57 AM: yes, everything is in the email as attachments James Sartell 11:58 AM: I was on the phone as well. Last four digits were 6214 Beth Faxon 12:08 PM: 9786971556





<text>











| | Massachusetts Integrated State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan (2018) Massachusetts Climate Change Projections (NECSC, 2018 on resilientma.org) Massachusetts Climate Change Adaptation Report (MA EEA, 2011) |
|---------------------|---|
| APPLICABLE PLANS | Montachusett Region Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, 2015 Update Townsend Open Space & Recreation Plan, 2013-2020 Master Plan (2019/in progress) What other ongoing initiatives, plans, or operations should we review or document? |
| Weston@Sampsori | 2 |

ELIGIBLE MVP ACTION GRANT PROJECTS

 Detailed Vulnerability and Risk Assessment

 Public Education and Communication

 Local Bylaws, Ordinances, Plans, and Other Management Measures

 Predesigns and Retrofits

 Foregy Resilience Strategies

 Auture-Based Storm-Damage Protection, Drought Prevention, Water Quality, and Water Infiltration Techniques

 Nature-Based, Infrastructure and Technology Solutions to Reduce Vulnerability to Extreme Heat and Poor Air
 Quality

 Nature-Based Storm-Damage Protection, Drought Prevention, Water Quality, and Water Infiltration Techniques

 Nature-Based, Infrastructure and Technology Solutions to Reduce Vulnerability to Extreme Heat and Poor Air
 Quality

 Nature-Based Solutions to Reduce Vulnerability to other Climate Change Impacts

Acquisition of Land to Achieve a Resiliency Objective

Ecological Restoration and Habitat Management to Increase Resiliency

21

8





































| - | - |
|-----|---|
| •) | 6 |
| ~ | u |
| - | ~ |

| HAZARD POTENTIAL OF DAMS | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------|-----------|----|--|
| | Dam | Hazard Potential | Ownership | | |
| | Townsend Pearl Hill Brook Dam | Low Hazard | Public | | |
| | Townsend Adams Dam | N/A | Public | | |
| | Townsend Graves Pond Dam | N/A | Private | | |
| | Townsend Townsend Harbor Dam | Significant Hazard | Private | | |
| | Townsend Mason Road Dam | Significant Hazard | Private | | |
| | Townsend VFW Dam | Significant Hazard | Public | | |
| Source: Mo | ntachusett Regional Planning Commission (MRPC). Montachusett Region Hazaro | Migation Plan. 2015. 210-211. | | 29 | |





| | SOCIETA | L FEATURE | S |
|---|---|-----------------|---------------|
| | Population | Townsend | Massachusetts |
| r | 2010: | 8,926 residents | 6,547,790 |
| | 2017: | 9,418 residents | 6,902,149 |
| | Age | | |
| | Under 18 years: | 25.3% | 20% |
| | 65+ years: | 12.7% | 17% |
| | Education | | |
| | Bachelor's degree or higher: | 36.1% | 42.1% |
| | Additional Information | | |
| | Median household income: | \$84,630 | \$74,167 |
| | Persons in poverty: | 4.0% | 10.5% |
| | With a disability: | 12.0% | 7.9% |
| | Language other than English spoken at home: | 3.8% | 23.1% |









Town of Townsend Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Planning Grant

Core Team Meeting, Skype Call Meeting DRAFT Townsend Goals Endorsement

Amended and Recommended Hazard Mitigation Goals for Townsend:

- 1. **Coordination:** Increase coordination between Townsend and Federal, State, regional, and local partners.
- 2. **Protection:** Develop programs and strategies to protect the following Town features from natural hazards and climate change impacts:
 - a. Vulnerable residents, including the elderly, young, homeless, low-income, and those with limited English proficiency
 - b. Homes and businesses
 - c. Cultural and historic resources
 - d. Critical infrastructure, including transportation networks
 - e. Public utilities, including electric power, water, and wastewater
 - f. Public facilities and services
 - g. Future development
 - h. Open space, conserved land, and other environmental features
- 3. **Planning:** Incorporate climate change and natural hazard considerations into Town reports, planning efforts, departments, committees, and boards.
- 4. **Public Outreach:** Increase awareness and support for climate change and natural hazard mitigation among local organizations, businesses, and residents through outreach and education.
- 5. **Capacity:** Increase the Town's capacity for responding to climate change impacts and natural hazard events through adequate staff, training, supplies, equipment, and guidance.
- 6. **Funding:** Identify and pursue funding to support the development and implementation of climate adaptation and hazard mitigation measures.



Expert Interviews

Goal: Conduct interviews with local experts to discuss climate adaptation in more depth, and to update information from the last Hazard Mitigation Plan (HMP). These interviews are typically 45 minutes and conducted over Skype or Microsoft Teams so that we can share a screen. We will share interview materials with attendees in advance, and we will follow up on interviews with a copy of the discussion notes. We propose conducting interviews related to the following topic areas.

| Organization | Interviewee |
|---|---|
| Planning and Town Administrator | James M. Kreidler, Jr. jkreidler@townsend.ma.us |
| | Beth Faxon bfaxon@townsend.ma.us |
| | Laurie Shifrin Laurie8884@gmail.com |
| | Veronica Kell vktownsendplanningboard@gmail.com |
| | Bill Cadogan wjc@percep-tech.com |
| Emergency Management | Shirley Coit mamacoit@aol.com |
| | Jay Sartell jsartell@townsendpd.org |
| | Mark Boynton mboynton@townsendpd.org |
| | Kym Craven <a href="https://www.kcraven.gov/kcraven.go</th> |
| Highway Department and Utilities (Water | James Smith highway@townsend.ma.us |
| and Sewer) | Rebecca McEnroe Becky@mcenroeconsulting.com |
| | Todd Melanson tdzilla66@gmail.com |
| | Ryan Lepierre |
| Conservation Department, Cemetery & | Dave Henkels datlee6@msn.com |
| Parks Department, Tree Warden | Roger Rapoza cemetery@townsend.ma.us |
| | Donald Massucco muzzpam22@gmail.com |
| | Leigh Reddin Ireddin@townsend.ma.us |
| The Building Department | Janet Leavitt jleavitt@townsend.ma.us |
| | Bentley Herget <u>bherget@townsend.ma.us</u> |

Additionally, the following interviews will be conducted via email:

| Organization | Interviewee |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Public Schools | Brad Morgan <u>bmorgan@nmrsd.org</u> |
| | |
| Townsend Energy Committee | Brent Carney brcarney1015@gmail.com |
| | |
| Housing Authority | Chaz Sexton-Diranian csexton.tha@gmail.com |
| | Laura Shifrin laurie@townsendcenterrealty.com |
| Senior Center and Council on Aging | Jane Jackson jane.lois.jackson@gmail.com |
| | Karin Canfield Moore kmoore@townsend.ma.us |
| Public Health | Carla Walter cjaquilia@yahoo.com |
| | Rick Metcalf rmetcalf@nashoba.org |
| | Emy Hoff <u>ehtownrec@yahoo.com</u> . |
| Public Library | Susan McNally sciencest.net |
| | Stacy Schuttler <u>sschuttler@cwmars.org</u> |
| Planning and Development | Lance McNally |
| | |

| Organization | Interviewee |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| Townsend Business Association | Kym Craven |
| Townsend Recreation | Emy Hoff, Director |
| Historic District Commission and Cultural | Alisa Struthers alisa 55@verizon.net |
| Council | Linda Durette linda.durette@gmail.com |



Town of Townsend Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Planning Grant

Key Expert Interview Questions

- 1. How does the goal of improving Townsend's climate resilience overlap with your department's mission or objectives?
- 2. Which climate hazard is likely to have the greatest impact on your department? OR Which climate hazard impacts your department's operations most frequently? What impacts typically occur?
- 3. What (and where) are your department's critical facilities, infrastructure, or assets? (Review with map and critical facilities list).
- 4. Does your department have a Standard Operating Procedure or Emergency Plan to respond and recover after hazards occur? (Formal or informal)
- 5. How has your department taken steps to reduce vulnerabilities to climate change in your operations or to protect the public health and safety in the community?
- 6. What tools, resources, knowledge, or data would your department need to better mitigate, prepare, respond, recover, or adapt to climate change?
- 7. How can your department promote resilience within the community or directly with community members?



- 8. What are some of Townsend's greatest strengths?
- 9. What are some of Townsend's greatest vulnerabilities?
- 10. In general, how prepared do you feel Townsend is for climate change? Why?
- 11. How could Townsend adapt to climate change today? In the next five years? In the next 20 years?
- 12. How do you think Townsend should prioritize its climate adaptation measures?
- 13. What climate adaptation measures discussed in question 10, should be a priority?



Appendix B

Additional Hazard Data





| Legend | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|----------|---|-------------------|------------------|
| Animal Shelter | Certified Vernal Pools | | | | |
| City/Town Hall | Community Groundwater Source | | | | 1 |
| Communication Infrastructure | Non-Community Groundwater Source | | | | |
| District Court | Railroads (Decommissioned) | | | | |
| Early Education Childcare Facilities | | | | | |
| Elderly Housing | Conservation / Protected Land / Open Space | | | | |
| SUB Electric Substations | Dams | UNENBURG | | | |
| Emergency Dispensing Sites | Significant Hazard | | | | SHIR |
| Emergency Operation Centers | Low Hazard | | | | UTTIC |
| Emergency Shelters | • N/A | | | | |
| H End of Life Facilities | Waterways | | | | |
| Energy Resilience | Rivers, Streams, and Brooks | | | | |
| ⊕ Fire | Marsh, Bog, Wooded Marsh | | | | |
| HazMat Sites | Lakes, Ponds, Resevoirs | | | | |
| Historic Properties | FEMA National Flood Hazard Layer | | | 0.5 | 0 |
| + Other Critical Facilities | 1% Annual Chance of Flooding (Zones A, AE, AH, AO) | | | | Miles |
| Other Government Buildings | U.2% Annual Chance of Flooding (Zone X) | | г | | |
| Police | $\sim 25\%$ of population is ~ 18 | | | FIG | URE 1 |
| Public Health Office | $> 25\%$ of population is $65\pm$ | | | | |
| Public Safety Communications | | | | TOWN OF TOWNSE | ND, MASSAC |
| Pumping Stations | | | | | |
| Religious Center | | | | MUNICIPAL VULNERA | BILITY PR |
| Schools | | | | HAZARD AND | FEATURE |
| Supply Store | | | | | |
| Wasterwater Treatment Plant | | | | JUNE 2020 | S |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Westor | & Samp |

| ID | Feature Type | Name | Address | X_LAT | Y_LONG |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| 0 | Animal Shelter | Town of Ashby/Townsend Animal Control | 352 Main Street | 42.67224 | -71.723906 |
| 1 | Animal Shelter | Townsend Veterinary Hospital | 354 Main Street | 42.67237 | -71.724385 |
| | Animal Shelter | Best friends veterinary hospital | Main Street | 42.666552 | -71.705494 |
| 2 | City/Town Hall | Townsend Town Hall | 272 Main Street | 42.667104 | -71.706904 |
| 3 | Clinic | Nashoba Valley Regional Hospital | 200 Groton Rd, Ayer, MA | 42.577472 | -71.574 |
| 4 | Clinic | Leominster Hospital | 60 Hospital Rd, Leominster | 42,540444 | -71.762611 |
| | | | 133 Old Rd to 9 Acre Corner. | | |
| 5 | Clinic | Emerson Hospital | Concord MA | 42 45232 | -71 375693 |
| 6 | Clinic | Urgent Care | 442 Nashua St. Milford NH | 42 82921 | -71 633545 |
| 7 | Communication Infrastructure | Cell Tower | 82 Bayberry Hill Boad | 42.655048 | -71 73611 |
| , | | Cell Tower | 12 Dudley Road | 42.035040 | -71 700918 |
| 9 | | Cell Tower | Ball Road | 42.670071 | -71 669008 |
| 10 | Dam | Townsend Harbor Dam | bail Road | 42.001310 | -71 67265 |
| 10 | Dam | Masan Baad Dam | | 42.03234 | -/1.0/203 |
| 11 | Dam | INIASON ROAD DAM | | 42.678972 | -/1./402 |
| 12 | Dam | Bixby Reservoir Dam | | 42.6403319 | -/1./1508357 |
| 13 | Dam | Adams Dam | | 42.66591 | -/1./1019 |
| 14 | Dam | Graves Pond Dam | | 42.6431697 | -/1./09348/3 |
| 15 | Dam | Pearl Hill Brook Dam | | 42.65777 | -71.757136 |
| 16 | Dam | VFW Dam | | 42.677727 | -71.747611 |
| 17 | District Court | Townsend Townhall Clerk | 272 Main St | 42.667104 | -71.706904 |
| | | | | | |
| 18 | Early Education Childcare Facilities | Lecuyer, Jeanne | 173 Lunenburg Road | 42.644977 | -71.74652 |
| | | | | | |
| 19 | Early Education Childcare Facilities | Village Common Children's Center | 3 Brookline St | 42.666857 | -71.704217 |
| | | - | | | |
| 20 | Early Education Childcare Facilities | Rainbow Preschool & Child Care | 27 Main St | 42.651324 | -71.664048 |
| | | | | | |
| 21 | Farly Education Childcare Facilities | Dussault Tracy | 271 S. Row Road | 12 622782 | -71 706075 |
| 21 | | | 271 5. 100 1080 | 42.032783 | -71.700075 |
| 22 | Forth Education Childrens Facilities | Moura Drice | 16 Degen Dd | 42.657593 | 71 (70225 |
| | Early Education Childcare Facilities | | 16 Regan Rd. | | -/1.6/9325 |
| | | | | | |
| 23 | Early Education Childcare Facilities | Michalczyk, Elizabeth | 14 Sumac Drive | 42.643852 | -/1./1526/ |
| | | | | 42.630357 | |
| 24 | Early Education Childcare Facilities | Laura Alimayu | 6 Pisces Lane | | -71.682479 |
| | | | | | |
| 25 | Early Education Childcare Facilities | Kidsborough@ Spaulding | 1 Whitcomb St. | 42.669981 | -71.712925 |
| | | | | 42 (20(22) | |
| 26 | Early Education Childcare Facilities | Tammy Sontag | 9 Laurel woods Dr. | 42.050052 | -71.737132 |
| 27 | Elderly Housing | Townsend Woods | 70 Dudley Rd | 42.67979 | -71.710275 |
| 28 | Elderly Housing | Atwood Acres | 66 Dudley Rd | 42.680767 | -71.709414 |
| 29 | Electric Substations | East Power Substation | Main Street | 42.661036 | -71.696588 |
| 30 | Electric Substations | West Power Substation | West Main Street | 42.675527 | -71.759329 |
| 31 | Emergency Dispensing Sites | North Middlesex Regional High School | 19 Main Street | 42 651434 | -71 66064 |
| 32 | Emergency Operation Centers | Townsend Memorial Hall | 272 Main Street | 42 667104 | -71 706904 |
| 32 | Emergency Operation Centers | Townsend Police Station | 70 Brookline Street | 42.007104 | -71 700044 |
| 24 | Emergency Spelation centers | Hawthorno Brook School | 64 Brookline Bood | 42.070401 | -71.700044 |
| 54 | Energency sherters | | 64 BIOOKIIIIe Koau | 42.074455 | -71.702434 |
| 25 | En anna an Chaltana | | 10 Main Starset | 42 654 424 | 71.00004 |
| 35 | Emergency Shelters | North Middlesex Regional High School (Alternate) | 19 Main Street | 42.651434 | -71.66064 |
| | | | | | |
| 36 | Emergency Shelters | Squannacook Early Childhood Center (Alternate) | 66 Brookline Road | 42.675034 | -71.705414 |
| 37 | End of Life Facilities | Hillside Cemetery | Highland St | 42.667726 | -71.700084 |
| 1 | | | Highland Street/Old | | |
| 38 | End of Life Facilities | Old Burial Ground | Meetinghouse Hill Road | 42.669961 | -71.69385 |
| 39 | End of Life Facilities | Riverside Cemetery | Dudley Road | 42.679659 | -71.738375 |
| 40 | End of Life Facilities | TJ Anderson & Son Funeral Home | 250 Main Street | 42.666242 | -71.705084 |
| 41 | Energy Resilience | Solar Firm (768KW) | Route13 | 42.627304 | -71.744155 |
| 42 | Energy Resilience | Solar Firm (1.2MW) | West Meadow Road | 42.686111 | -71.764511 |
| 43 | Fire | Harbor Station | 47 Main Street | 42.65304 | -71.66961 |
| 44 | Fire | Headquarters | 13 Elm Street | 42.665562 | -71.707288 |
| 45 | Fire | West Townsend Station | 460 Main Street | 42.677086 | -71.743407 |
| 46 | Fire | Townsend Center Fire Station | 8 Elm Street | 42 666016 | -71 706107 |
| _70 Δ7 | Fire | Townsend Center ES- Annex | 272 Main Street | 42 667056 | -71 706987 |
| 47 | HazMat Sites | Sterlite Concoration | 198 Main Street | 12 663227 | -71 608/22 |
| 40 | HazMat Sites | M&M Auto Supply Inc | 5 Center Street | 42.002237 13 665505 | _71 706176 |
| 49 | HazMat Sites | Apple Meadow True Value Hardware Store | 10 Elm Street | 42.000000 | -/1./U01/0 |
| 50 | Listaria Dranastic - | Apple meauow in de value naroware Store | | 42.665/33 | -/1./05912 |
| 51 | Historic Properties | The Spaulding Cooperage | 1 South Street | 42.6526/4 | -/1.6/2501 |
| 52 | Historic Properties | I ne spaulding Grist Mill | 1 South Street | 42.652603 | -71.671949 |
| 53 | Historic Properties | Reed Homestead | 72 Main Street | 42.653233 | -71.673383 |
| 54 | Historic Properties | Harbor Church | 80 Main Street | 42.653669 | -71.674675 |
| 55 | Historic Properties | Cooperage | 1 South Street | 42.652692 | -71.672404 |
| 56 | Open Space | Howard Park | Howard Road | 42.674447 | -71.707075 |
| 57 | Other Critical Facilities | Co-located Food Bank and Clothes Closet | 82 Bayberry Hill Road | 42.655048 | -71.73611 |
| 58 | Other Critical Facilities | Deluxe Corp | 12 South Street | 42.650768 | -71.670756 |
| 59 | Other Critical Facilities | Sterlite Corporation | 198 Main Street | 42.662261 | -71.698454 |
| 60 | Other Critical Facilities | Sterlite Corporation | 30 Scales Lane | 42.669605 | -71.7212 |

| 61 | Other Critical Facilities | Old Brick Store | 440 Main Street | 42.677059 | -71.740863 |
|-----|------------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------|-------------|
| 62 | Other Government Buildings | DCR Forest Fire Station | 65 Main Street | 42.653306 | -71.672364 |
| 63 | Other Government Buildings | Garage facility for Water Dept. | 14 Ash Street | 42.644863 | -71.680945 |
| 64 | Other Government Buildings | Townsend Capital Meeting Room | 14 Dudley Street | 42.676239 | -71.701427 |
| 65 | Other Government Buildings | Townsend Highway Department | 177 Main Street | 42.664172 | -71.694589 |
| 66 | Other Government Buildings | Townsend Historical Society | 72 Main Street | 42.653241 | -71.673475 |
| 67 | Other Government Buildings | Townsend Police Communication Center | 70 Brookline Street | 42.67648 | -71.700098 |
| 68 | Other Government Buildings | Townsend Public Library | 12 Dudley Road Street | 42.676239 | -71.701427 |
| 69 | Other Government Buildings | Townsend Senior Center COA | 16 Dudley Road | 42.676239 | -71.701427 |
| 70 | Other Government Buildings | Townsend Storage Tank | Highland Street | 42.672809 | -71.677131 |
| 71 | Other Government Buildings | Townsend Storage Tank | Fitchburg Road | 42.652618 | -71.719472 |
| 72 | Other Government Buildings | Townsend Water Department | 540 Main Street | 42.674994 | -71.760688 |
| 73 | Other Government Buildings | US Post Office | 227 Main Street | 42.665676 | -71.70269 |
| 74 | Other Government Buildings | Townsend Recreation Building | 274 Main Street | 42.667413 | -71.707157 |
| 75 | Police | Townsend Police Station | 70 Brookline Road | 42.676481 | -71.700044 |
| 76 | Public Health Office | Board of Health | 272 Main Street | 42.667104 | -71.706904 |
| | | Cell Tower with associated equipment in an on-site | | | |
| 77 | Public Safety Communications | building | 60 Warren Road | 42.640233 | -71.668337 |
| | , | Repeater Site: standalone tower with only town | | | |
| 78 | Public Safety Communications | equipment | 139 Lunenburg | 42.650501 | -71.747418 |
| 79 | Public Water Supply | Cross Street Gravel Packed Well 2 | Kimplen Court | 42.673312 | -71.695095 |
| 80 | Public Water Supply | DCR Pearl Hill State Park | 105 New Fitchburg Rd | 42.655688 | -71.757418 |
| 81 | Public Water Supply | DCR Willard Brook State Forest | 599 Main Street | 42.67337 | -71.772623 |
| 82 | Public Water Supply | Harbor Trace Gp Well | 25 Harbor Trace Road | 42.646383 | -71.669712 |
| 83 | Public Water Supply | Main Street Tubular Well Field #1 | 512 Main Street | 42.676815 | -71.753582 |
| 84 | Public Water Supply | Witches Brook Well 1 | 14 Ash Street | 42.644863 | -71.680945 |
| 85 | Public Water Supply | Witches Brook Well 2 | 14 Ash Street | 42.644863 | -71.680945 |
| 86 | Pumping Stations | Booster Pumping Station | West Meadow Road | 42.679338 | -71.758872 |
| 87 | Religious Center | Townsend Congregational Church. UCC | 3 Brookline St | 42.666857 | -71.704217 |
| 88 | Religious Center | St John the Evangelist Catholic Church | 1 School St | 42.668901 | -71.703971 |
| 89 | Religious Center | New Beginnings United Methodist Church | 265 Main St | 42.667686 | -71.705834 |
| 90 | Religious Center | First Baptist Church | 461 Main St | 42.677419 | -71.743886 |
| 91 | Religious Center | Historical Society Harbor Church | 80 Main Street | 42.653669 | -71.674675 |
| 92 | Religious Center | Greater Grace Community Church | 354 Main Street | 42.672385 | -71.724550 |
| 93 | Schools | North Middlesex Regional High School | 19 Main Street | 42.651434 | -71.66064 |
| 94 | Schools | Hawthorne Brook School | 64 Brookline Road | 42.674435 | -71.702434 |
| 95 | Schools | Squannacook Farly Childhood Center | 66 Brookline Road | 42 675034 | -71 705414 |
| 96 | Schools | Spaulding Memorial School | 1 Whitcomb Street | 42.669981 | -71.712925 |
| 97 | Sports and Cultural | Townsend-Ashby Squannacook Soccer Complex | 42 Mason Road | 42 680271 | -71 744022 |
| 98 | Sports and Cultural | Craven Baseball Field | 15 New Eitchburg Bd | 42 675748 | -71 745452 |
| 99 | Sports and Cultural | Spaulding Baseball (Plaving) Fields | 1 Whitcomb St | 42.670722 | -71.714338 |
| 100 | Sports and Cultural | Townsend Senior Center | 16 Dudley Bd | 42 676239 | -71 701427 |
| 101 | Sports and Cultural | VFW Post #6583 | 491 Main St | 42.678246 | -71.74907 |
| 101 | Supply Store | Hannaford Supermarket | 18 Main Street | 42 648434 | -71 660016 |
| 103 | Supply Store | McNabb's Pharmacy | 233 Main St | 42.666152 | -71.703871 |
| 103 | Supply Store | Mr. Mike's Mini Market | Main Street | 42 66592 | -71 704316 |
| 105 | Supply Store | Walgreens | 18 Main Street | 42 648732 | -71 661278 |
| 105 | Supply Store | Apple Meadow Hardware | 10 Elm Street | 42 665791 | -71 706124 |
| 100 | Wasterwater Treatment Plant | Wastewater Treatment Plan/Sentic System | 66 Brookline Boad | 42.003731 | -71 705/11/ |
| 107 | master mater meatment failt | reaction in cutilitient in any septice system | | 42.07 3034 | ,1.,00414 |







Hazus: Hurricane Global Risk Report

Region Name: Townsend

Hurricane Scenario: Probabilistic 100-year Return Period

Print Date:

Thursday, May 7, 2020

Disclaimer:

This version of Hazus utilizes 2010 Census Data. Totals only reflect data for those census tracts/blocks included in the user's study region.

The estimates of social and economic impacts contained in this report were produced using Hazus loss estimation methodology software which is based on current scientific and engineering knowledge. There are uncertainties inherent in any loss estimation technique. Therefore, there may be significant differences between the modeled results contained in this report and the actual social and economic losses following a specific Hurricane. These results can be improved by using enhanced inventory data.





Table of Contents

| Section | Page # |
|--|---------|
| General Description of the Region | 3 |
| Building Inventory | 4 |
| General Building Stock | |
| Essential Facility Inventory | |
| Hurricane Scenario Parameters | 5 |
| Building Damage | 6 |
| General Building Stock | |
| Essential Facilities Damage | |
| Induced Hurricane Damage | 8 |
| Debris Generation | |
| Social Impact | 8 |
| Shelter Requirements | |
| Economic Loss | 9 |
| Building Losses | |
| | |
| Appendix A: County Listing for the Region | 10 |
| Appendix B: Regional Population and Building Value I | Data 11 |





General Description of the Region

Hazus is a regional multi-hazard loss estimation model that was developed by the Federal Emergency Management Agency and the National Institute of Building Sciences. The primary purpose of Hazus is to provide a methodology and software application to develop multi-hazard losses at a regional scale. These loss estimates would be used primarily by local, state and regional officials to plan and stimulate efforts to reduce risks from multi-hazards and to prepare for emergency response and recovery.

The hurricane loss estimates provided in this report are based on a region that includes 1 county(ies) from the following state(s):

- Massachusetts

Note:

Appendix A contains a complete listing of the counties contained in the region.

The geographical size of the region is 33.06 square miles and contains 2 census tracts. There are over 3 thousand households in the region and a total population of 8,926 people (2010 Census Bureau data). The distribution of population by State and County is provided in Appendix B.

There are an estimated 3 thousand buildings in the region with a total building replacement value (excluding contents) of 1,253 million dollars (2014 dollars). Approximately 91% of the buildings (and 85% of the building value) are associated with residential housing.





Building Inventory

General Building Stock

Hazus estimates that there are 3,269 buildings in the region which have an aggregate total replacement value of 1,253 million (2014 dollars). Table 1 presents the relative distribution of the value with respect to the general occupancies. Appendix B provides a general distribution of the building value by State and County.



Building Exposure by Occupancy Type



| Occupancy | Exposure (\$1000) | Percent of Tot |
|--------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Residential | 1,070,398 | 85.46 % |
| Commercial | 121,640 | 9.71% |
| Industrial | 25,784 | 2.06% |
| Agricultural | 6,730 | 0.54% |
| Religious | 10,888 | 0.87% |
| Government | 5,588 | 0.45% |
| Education | 11,546 | 0.92% |
| Total | 1,252,574 | 100.00% |

Essential Facility Inventory

For essential facilities, there are no hospitals in the region with a total bed capacity of no beds. There are 5 schools, 1 fire stations, 1 police stations and no emergency operation facilities.





Hurricane Scenario

Hazus used the following set of information to define the hurricane parameters for the hurricane loss estimate provided in this report.

Scenario Name:

Type:

Probabilistic Probabilistic





Building Damage

General Building Stock Damage

Hazus estimates that about 1 buildings will be at least moderately damaged. This is over 0% of the total number of buildings in the region. There are an estimated 0 buildings that will be completely destroyed. The definition of the 'damage states' is provided in the Hazus Hurricane technical manual. Table 2 below summarizes the expected damage by general occupancy for the buildings in the region. Table 3 summarizes the expected damage by general building type.



Table 2: Expected Building Damage by Occupancy : 100 - year Event

| | None | | Mino | Minor | | Moderate | | re | Destruct | ion |
|-------------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------|-------|------|----------|------|
| Occupancy | Count | (%) | Count | (%) | Count | (%) | Count | (%) | Count | (%) |
| Agriculture | 16.87 | 99.23 | 0.12 | 0.72 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Commercial | 175.58 | 99.20 | 1.35 | 0.76 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Education | 4.96 | 99.28 | 0.04 | 0.72 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Government | 4.96 | 99.26 | 0.04 | 0.74 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Industrial | 60.50 | 99.18 | 0.49 | 0.81 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Religion | 12.91 | 99.31 | 0.09 | 0.67 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Residential | 2,972.00 | 99.36 | 18.16 | 0.61 | 0.82 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total | 3,247.79 |) | 20.28 | | 0.91 | | 0.02 | | 0.00 | |





Table 3: Expected Building Damage by Building Type : 100 - year Event

| Building | None | | Minor | | Moderate | | Seve | Severe | | Destruction | |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|------|----------|------|-------|--------|-------|-------------|--|
| Туре | Count | (%) | Count | (%) | Count | (%) | Count | (%) | Count | (%) | |
| Concrete | 6 | 99.19 | 0 | 0.81 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | |
| Masonry | 149 | 98.47 | 2 | 1.35 | 0 | 0.18 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | |
| MH | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | |
| Steel | 128 | 99.16 | 1 | 0.80 | 0 | 0.04 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | |
| Wood | 2,865 | 99.47 | 15 | 0.52 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | |





Essential Facility Damage

Before the hurricane, the region had no hospital beds available for use. On the day of the hurricane, the model estimates that 0 hospital beds (0%) are available for use by patients already in the hospital and those injured by the hurricane. After one week, none of the beds will be in service. By 30 days, none will be operational.

Thematic Map of Essential Facilities with greater than 50% moderate



Table 4: Expected Damage to Essential Facilities

| | | # Facilities | | | | |
|-----------------|-------|---|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Classification | Total | Probability of at Least Moderate Damage > 50% | Probability of Complete Damage > 50% | Expected Loss of Use < 1 day | | |
| Fire Stations | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | |
| Police Stations | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | |
| Schools | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | | |





Induced Hurricane Damage

Debris Generation



Hazus estimates the amount of debris that will be generated by the hurricane. The model breaks the debris into four general categories: a) Brick/Wood, b) Reinforced Concrete/Steel, c) Eligible Tree Debris, and d) Other Tree Debris. This distinction is made because of the different types of material handling equipment required to handle the debris.

The model estimates that a total of 3,980 tons of debris will be generated. Of the total amount, 3,460 tons (87%) is Other Tree Debris. Of the remaining 520 tons, Brick/Wood comprises 14% of the total, Reinforced Concrete/Steel comprises of 0% of the total, with the remainder being Eligible Tree Debris. If the building debris tonnage is converted to an estimated number of truckloads, it will require 3 truckloads (@25 tons/truck) to remove the building debris generated by the hurricane. The number of Eligible Tree Debris truckloads will depend on how the 449 tons of Eligible Tree Debris are collected and processed. The volume of tree debris generally ranges from about 4 cubic yards per ton for chipped or compacted tree debris to about 10 cubic yards per ton for bulkier, uncompacted debris.





Social Impact

Shelter Requirement



Hazus estimates the number of households that are expected to be displaced from their homes due to the hurricane and the number of displaced people that will require accommodations in temporary public shelters. The model estimates 0 households to be displaced due to the hurricane. Of these, 0 people (out of a total population of 8,926) will seek temporary shelter in public shelters.





Economic Loss

The total economic loss estimated for the hurricane is 4.9 million dollars, which represents 0.39 % of the total replacement value of the region's buildings.

Building-Related Losses

The building related losses are broken into two categories: direct property damage losses and business interruption losses. The direct property damage losses are the estimated costs to repair or replace the damage caused to the building and its contents. The business interruption losses are the losses associated with inability to operate a business because of the damage sustained during the hurricane. Business interruption losses also include the temporary living expenses for those people displaced from their homes because of the hurricane.

The total property damage losses were 5 million dollars. 0% of the estimated losses were related to the business interruption of the region. By far, the largest loss was sustained by the residential occupancies which made up over 99% of the total loss. Table 5 below provides a summary of the losses associated with the building damage.











Table 5: Building-Related Economic Loss Estimates

(Thousands of dollars)

| Category | Area | Residential | Commercial | Industrial | Others | Total |
|-------------|-----------------|-------------|------------|------------|--------|----------|
| Property Da | amage | | | | | |
| , | Building | 3,006.45 | 28.53 | 4.42 | 6.42 | 3,045.82 |
| | Content | 1,812.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1,812.27 |
| | Inventory | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | Subtotal | 4,818.73 | 28.53 | 4.42 | 6.42 | 4,858.10 |
| Business In | terruption Loss | | | | | |
| | Income | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | Relocation | 4.66 | 0.40 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 5.10 |
| | Rental | 5.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.41 |
| | Wage | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | Subtotal | 10.08 | 0.40 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 10.51 |





| <u>Total</u> | | | | | | |
|--------------|-------|----------|-------|------|------|----------|
| | Total | 4,828.80 | 28.93 | 4.43 | 6.45 | 4,868.61 |





Appendix A: County Listing for the Region

Massachusetts - Middlesex




Appendix B: Regional Population and Building Value Data

| | | Building Value (thousands of dollars) | | | |
|--------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|--|
| | Population | Residential | Non-Residential | Total | |
| Massachusetts | | | | | |
| Middlesex | 8,926 | 1,070,398 | 182,176 | 1,252,574 | |
| Total | 8,926 | 1,070,398 | 182,176 | 1,252,574 | |
| Study Region Total | 8,926 | 1,070,398 | 182,176 | 1,252,574 | |







Hazus: Hurricane Global Risk Report

Region Name: Townsend

Hurricane Scenario: Probabilistic 500-year Return Period

Print Date:

Thursday, May 7, 2020

Disclaimer:

This version of Hazus utilizes 2010 Census Data. Totals only reflect data for those census tracts/blocks included in the user's study region.

The estimates of social and economic impacts contained in this report were produced using Hazus loss estimation methodology software which is based on current scientific and engineering knowledge. There are uncertainties inherent in any loss estimation technique. Therefore, there may be significant differences between the modeled results contained in this report and the actual social and economic losses following a specific Hurricane. These results can be improved by using enhanced inventory data.





Table of Contents

| Section | Page # |
|--|---------|
| General Description of the Region | 3 |
| Building Inventory | 4 |
| General Building Stock | |
| Essential Facility Inventory | |
| Hurricane Scenario Parameters | 5 |
| Building Damage | 6 |
| General Building Stock | |
| Essential Facilities Damage | |
| Induced Hurricane Damage | 8 |
| Debris Generation | |
| Social Impact | 8 |
| Shelter Requirements | |
| Economic Loss | 9 |
| Building Losses | |
| | |
| Appendix A: County Listing for the Region | 10 |
| Appendix B: Regional Population and Building Value I | Data 11 |





General Description of the Region

Hazus is a regional multi-hazard loss estimation model that was developed by the Federal Emergency Management Agency and the National Institute of Building Sciences. The primary purpose of Hazus is to provide a methodology and software application to develop multi-hazard losses at a regional scale. These loss estimates would be used primarily by local, state and regional officials to plan and stimulate efforts to reduce risks from multi-hazards and to prepare for emergency response and recovery.

The hurricane loss estimates provided in this report are based on a region that includes 1 county(ies) from the following state(s):

- Massachusetts

Note:

Appendix A contains a complete listing of the counties contained in the region.

The geographical size of the region is 33.06 square miles and contains 2 census tracts. There are over 3 thousand households in the region and a total population of 8,926 people (2010 Census Bureau data). The distribution of population by State and County is provided in Appendix B.

There are an estimated 3 thousand buildings in the region with a total building replacement value (excluding contents) of 1,253 million dollars (2014 dollars). Approximately 91% of the buildings (and 85% of the building value) are associated with residential housing.





Building Inventory

General Building Stock

Hazus estimates that there are 3,269 buildings in the region which have an aggregate total replacement value of 1,253 million (2014 dollars). Table 1 presents the relative distribution of the value with respect to the general occupancies. Appendix B provides a general distribution of the building value by State and County.



Building Exposure by Occupancy Type



| Occupancy | Exposure (\$1000) | Percent of Tot |
|--------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Residential | 1,070,398 | 85.46 % |
| Commercial | 121,640 | 9.71% |
| Industrial | 25,784 | 2.06% |
| Agricultural | 6,730 | 0.54% |
| Religious | 10,888 | 0.87% |
| Government | 5,588 | 0.45% |
| Education | 11,546 | 0.92% |
| Total | 1,252,574 | 100.00% |

Essential Facility Inventory

For essential facilities, there are no hospitals in the region with a total bed capacity of no beds. There are 5 schools, 1 fire stations, 1 police stations and no emergency operation facilities.





Hurricane Scenario

Hazus used the following set of information to define the hurricane parameters for the hurricane loss estimate provided in this report.

Scenario Name:

Type:

Probabilistic Probabilistic





Building Damage

General Building Stock Damage

Hazus estimates that about 23 buildings will be at least moderately damaged. This is over 1% of the total number of buildings in the region. There are an estimated 0 buildings that will be completely destroyed. The definition of the 'damage states' is provided in the Hazus Hurricane technical manual. Table 2 below summarizes the expected damage by general occupancy for the buildings in the region. Table 3 summarizes the expected damage by general building type.



Expected Building Damage by Occupancy

Table 2: Expected Building Damage by Occupancy : 500 - year Event

| | Nor | ne | Mino | or | Mode | rate | Seve | re | Destruct | ion |
|-------------|----------|-------|--------|------|-------|------|-------|------|----------|------|
| Occupancy | Count | (%) | Count | (%) | Count | (%) | Count | (%) | Count | (%) |
| Agriculture | 15.74 | 92.61 | 1.00 | 5.86 | 0.18 | 1.05 | 0.08 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| Commercial | 167.47 | 94.61 | 8.14 | 4.60 | 1.23 | 0.69 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Education | 4.78 | 95.64 | 0.21 | 4.16 | 0.01 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Government | 4.79 | 95.84 | 0.20 | 3.99 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Industrial | 58.00 | 95.09 | 2.71 | 4.45 | 0.24 | 0.39 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Religion | 12.25 | 94.23 | 0.70 | 5.41 | 0.05 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Residential | 2,745.67 | 91.80 | 224.45 | 7.50 | 20.69 | 0.69 | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.00 |
| Total | 3,008.71 | | 237.41 | | 22.39 | | 0.44 | • | 0.04 | |





Table 3: Expected Building Damage by Building Type : 500 - year Event

| Building | None | | Minor | | Mode | Moderate | | Severe | | Destruction | |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|----------|-------|--------|-------|-------------|--|
| Туре | Count | (%) | Count | (%) | Count | (%) | Count | (%) | Count | (%) | |
| Concrete | 6 | 95.66 | 0 | 4.14 | 0 | 0.20 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | |
| Masonry | 136 | 90.23 | 11 | 7.25 | 4 | 2.44 | 0 | 0.07 | 0 | 0.00 | |
| MH | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | |
| Steel | 123 | 95.16 | 5 | 4.07 | 1 | 0.66 | 0 | 0.11 | 0 | 0.00 | |
| Wood | 2,656 | 92.23 | 211 | 7.32 | 13 | 0.45 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.00 | |





Essential Facility Damage

Before the hurricane, the region had no hospital beds available for use. On the day of the hurricane, the model estimates that 0 hospital beds (0%) are available for use by patients already in the hospital and those injured by the hurricane. After one week, none of the beds will be in service. By 30 days, none will be operational.

Thematic Map of Essential Facilities with greater than 50% moderate



Table 4: Expected Damage to Essential Facilities

| | | # Facilities | | | | |
|-----------------|-------|---|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Classification | Total | Probability of at Least Moderate Damage > 50% | Probability of Complete Damage > 50% | Expected Loss of Use < 1 day | | |
| Fire Stations | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | |
| Police Stations | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | |
| Schools | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | | |





Induced Hurricane Damage

Debris Generation



Hazus estimates the amount of debris that will be generated by the hurricane. The model breaks the debris into four general categories: a) Brick/Wood, b) Reinforced Concrete/Steel, c) Eligible Tree Debris, and d) Other Tree Debris. This distinction is made because of the different types of material handling equipment required to handle the debris.

The model estimates that a total of 19,832 tons of debris will be generated. Of the total amount, 17,042 tons (86%) is Other Tree Debris. Of the remaining 2,790 tons, Brick/Wood comprises 22% of the total, Reinforced Concrete/Steel comprises of 0% of the total, with the remainder being Eligible Tree Debris. If the building debris tonnage is converted to an estimated number of truckloads, it will require 24 truckloads (@25 tons/truck) to remove the building debris generated by the hurricane. The number of Eligible Tree Debris truckloads will depend on how the 2,187 tons of Eligible Tree Debris are collected and processed. The volume of tree debris generally ranges from about 4 cubic yards per ton for chipped or compacted tree debris to about 10 cubic yards per ton for bulkier, uncompacted debris.





Social Impact

Shelter Requirement



Hazus estimates the number of households that are expected to be displaced from their homes due to the hurricane and the number of displaced people that will require accommodations in temporary public shelters. The model estimates 0 households to be displaced due to the hurricane. Of these, 0 people (out of a total population of 8,926) will seek temporary shelter in public shelters.





Economic Loss

The total economic loss estimated for the hurricane is 19.3 million dollars, which represents 1.54 % of the total replacement value of the region's buildings.

Building-Related Losses

The building related losses are broken into two categories: direct property damage losses and business interruption losses. The direct property damage losses are the estimated costs to repair or replace the damage caused to the building and its contents. The business interruption losses are the losses associated with inability to operate a business because of the damage sustained during the hurricane. Business interruption losses also include the temporary living expenses for those people displaced from their homes because of the hurricane.

The total property damage losses were 19 million dollars. 2% of the estimated losses were related to the business interruption of the region. By far, the largest loss was sustained by the residential occupancies which made up over 98% of the total loss. Table 5 below provides a summary of the losses associated with the building damage.











Table 5: Building-Related Economic Loss Estimates

(Thousands of dollars)

| Category | Area | Residential | Commercial | Industrial | Others | Total |
|-------------|------------------|-------------|------------|------------|--------|-----------|
| Property Da | amage | | | | | |
| | Building | 12,197.96 | 214.14 | 36.00 | 58.43 | 12,506.54 |
| | Content | 6,378.94 | 27.60 | 9.76 | 9.14 | 6,425.44 |
| | Inventory | 0.00 | 0.92 | 1.52 | 0.51 | 2.95 |
| | Subtotal | 18,576.91 | 242.67 | 47.28 | 68.08 | 18,934.93 |
| Business In | Iterruption Loss | | | | | |
| | Income | 0.00 | 14.44 | 0.13 | 5.99 | 20.56 |
| | Relocation | 176.44 | 25.76 | 0.77 | 6.23 | 209.20 |
| | Rental | 100.67 | 14.30 | 0.11 | 0.49 | 115.57 |
| | Wage | 0.00 | 19.12 | 0.22 | 14.04 | 33.38 |
| | Subtotal | 277.11 | 73.62 | 1.23 | 26.75 | 378.71 |





| <u>Total</u> | | | | | | |
|--------------|-------|-----------|--------|-------|-------|-----------|
| | Total | 18,854.01 | 316.29 | 48.51 | 94.82 | 19,313.63 |





Appendix A: County Listing for the Region

Massachusetts - Middlesex





Appendix B: Regional Population and Building Value Data

| | | Building Value (thousands of dollars) | | | |
|--------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|--|
| | Population | Residential | Non-Residential | Total | |
| Massachusetts | | | | | |
| Middlesex | 8,926 | 1,070,398 | 182,176 | 1,252,574 | |
| Total | 8,926 | 1,070,398 | 182,176 | 1,252,574 | |
| Study Region Total | 8,926 | 1,070,398 | 182,176 | 1,252,574 | |







Hazus: Earthquake Global Risk Report

| Region Name | Townsend |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| Earthquake Scenario: | Townsend Magnitude 5 Earthquake |
| Print Date: | May 07, 2020 |

Disclaimer: This version of Hazus utilizes 2010 Census Data. Totals only reflect data for those census tracts/blocks included in the user's study region.

The estimates of social and economic impacts contained in this report were produced using Hazus loss estimation methodology software which is based on current scientific and engineering knowledge. There are uncertainties inherent in any loss estimation technique. Therefore, there may be significant differences between the modeled results contained in this report and the actual social and economic losses following a specific earthquake. These results can be improved by using enhanced inventory, geotechnical, and observed ground motion data.





Table of Contents

| Section | Page # | |
|---|--------|--|
| General Description of the Region | 3 | |
| Building and Lifeline Inventory | 4 | |
| Building Inventory | | |
| Critical Facility Inventory | | |
| Transportation and Utility Lifeline Inventory | | |
| Earthquake Scenario Parameters | 7 | |
| Direct Earthquake Damage | 8 | |
| Buildings Damage | | |
| Essential Facilities Damage | | |
| Transportation and Utility Lifeline Damage | | |
| Induced Earthquake Damage | 14 | |
| Fire Following Earthquake | | |
| Debris Generation | | |
| Social Impact | 15 | |
| Shelter Requirements | | |
| Casualties | | |
| Economic Loss | 17 | |
| Building Related Losses | | |
| Transportation and Utility Lifeline Losses | | |
| | | |

Appendix A: County Listing for the Region Appendix B: Regional Population and Building Value Data





General Description of the Region

Hazus-MH is a regional earthquake loss estimation model that was developed by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and the National Institute of Building Sciences. The primary purpose of Hazus is to provide a methodology and software application to develop multi-hazard losses at a regional scale. These loss estimates would be used primarily by local, state and regional officials to plan and stimulate efforts to reduce risks from multi-hazards and to prepare for emergency response and recovery.

The earthquake loss estimates provided in this report was based on a region that includes 1 county(ies) from the following state(s):

Massachusetts

Note:

Appendix A contains a complete listing of the counties contained in the region.

The geographical size of the region is 33.06 square miles and contains 2 census tracts. There are over 3 thousand households in the region which has a total population of 8,926 people (2010 Census Bureau data). The distribution of population by Total Region and County is provided in Appendix B.

There are an estimated 3 thousand buildings in the region with a total building replacement value (excluding contents) of 1,252 (millions of dollars). Approximately 91.00 % of the buildings (and 85.00% of the building value) are associated with residential housing.

The replacement value of the transportation and utility lifeline systems is estimated to be 221 and 13 (millions of dollars), respectively.





Building and Lifeline Inventory

Building Inventory

Hazus estimates that there are 3 thousand buildings in the region which have an aggregate total replacement value of 1,252 (millions of dollars). Appendix B provides a general distribution of the building value by Total Region and County.

In terms of building construction types found in the region, wood frame construction makes up 89% of the building inventory. The remaining percentage is distributed between the other general building types.

Critical Facility Inventory

Hazus breaks critical facilities into two (2) groups: essential facilities and high potential loss facilities (HPL). Essential facilities include hospitals, medical clinics, schools, fire stations, police stations and emergency operations facilities. High potential loss facilities include dams, levees, military installations, nuclear power plants and hazardous material sites.

For essential facilities, there are 0 hospitals in the region with a total bed capacity of beds. There are 5 schools, 1 fire stations, 1 police stations and 0 emergency operation facilities. With respect to high potential loss facilities (HPL), there are no dams identified within the inventory. The inventory also includes no hazardous material sites, no military installations and no nuclear power plants.

Transportation and Utility Lifeline Inventory

Within Hazus, the lifeline inventory is divided between transportation and utility lifeline systems. There are seven (7) transportation systems that include highways, railways, light rail, bus, ports, ferry and airports. There are six (6) utility systems that include potable water, wastewater, natural gas, crude & refined oil, electric power and communications. The lifeline inventory data are provided in Tables 1 and 2.

The total value of the lifeline inventory is over 234.00 (millions of dollars). This inventory includes over 31.69 miles of highways, 13 bridges, 415.70 miles of pipes.





| | Table 1: Transportation System Lifeline Inventory | | | | | | |
|------------|---|----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| System | Component | # Locations/ # Segments | Replacement value (millions of dollars) | | | | |
| Highway | Bridges | 13 | 41.7714 | | | | |
| | Segments | 12 | 172.2706 | | | | |
| | Tunnels | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | |
| | | Subtotal | 214.0420 | | | | |
| Railways | Bridges | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | |
| | Facilities | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | |
| | Segments | 4 | 7.0260 | | | | |
| | Tunnels | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | |
| | | Subtotal | 7.0260 | | | | |
| Light Rail | Bridges | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | |
| C | Facilities | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | |
| | Segments | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | |
| | Tunnels | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | |
| | | Subtotal | 0.0000 | | | | |
| Bus | Facilities | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | |
| | | Subtotal | 0.0000 | | | | |
| Ferry | Facilities | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | |
| | | Subtotal | 0.0000 | | | | |
| Port | Facilities | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | |
| | | Subtotal | 0.0000 | | | | |
| Airport | Facilities | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | |
| | Runways | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | |
| | | Subtotal | 0.0000 | | | | |
| | | Total | 221.10 | | | | |





| System | Component | # Locations / Segments | Replacement value (millions of dollars) | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Potable Water | Distribution Lines | NA | 6.6944 | | | | | | |
| | Facilities | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | | | |
| | Pipelines | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | | | |
| | | Subtotal | 6.6944 | | | | | | |
| Waste Water | Distribution Lines | NA | 4.0166 | | | | | | |
| | Facilities | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | | | |
| | Pipelines | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | | | |
| | | Subtotal | 4.0166 | | | | | | |
| Natural Gas | Distribution Lines | NA | 2.6778 | | | | | | |
| | Facilities | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | | | |
| | Pipelines | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | | | |
| | | Subtotal | 2.6778 | | | | | | |
| Oil Systems | Facilities | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | | | |
| | Pipelines | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | | | |
| | | Subtotal | 0.0000 | | | | | | |
| Electrical Power | Facilities | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | | | |
| | | Subtotal | 0.0000 | | | | | | |
| Communication | Facilities | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | | | |
| | | Subtotal | 0.0000 | | | | | | |
| l | | Total | 13.40 | | | | | | |

Table 2: Utility System Lifeline Inventory





Earthquake Scenario

Hazus uses the following set of information to define the earthquake parameters used for the earthquake loss estimate provided in this report.



| Scenario Name | Townsend Magnitude 5 Earthquake |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Type of Earthquake | Arbitrary |
| Fault Name | NA |
| Historical Epicenter ID # | NA |
| Probabilistic Return Period | NA |
| Longitude of Epicenter | -71.71 |
| Latitude of Epicenter | 42.67 |
| Earthquake Magnitude | 5.00 |
| Depth (km) | 10.00 |
| Rupture Length (Km) | NA |
| Rupture Orientation (degrees) | NA |
| Attenuation Function | Central & East US (CEUS 2008) |





Direct Earthquake Damage

Building Damage

Hazus estimates that about 632 buildings will be at least moderately damaged. This is over 19.00 % of the buildings in the region. There are an estimated 28 buildings that will be damaged beyond repair. The definition of the 'damage states' is provided in Volume 1: Chapter 5 of the Hazus technical manual. Table 3 below summarizes the expected damage by general occupancy for the buildings in the region. Table 4 below summarizes the expected damage by general building type.



Damage Categories by General Occupancy Type

Table 3: Expected Building Damage by Occupancy

| | None | | Slight | | Moderate | | Extensive | | Complete | |
|-------------------|---------|-------|--------|-------|----------|-------|-----------|-------|----------|-------|
| | Count | (%) | Count | (%) | Count | (%) | Count | (%) | Count | (%) |
| Agriculture | 3.80 | 0.23 | 4.14 | 0.43 | 5.62 | 1.16 | 2.58 | 2.16 | 0.86 | 3.02 |
| Commercial | 40.12 | 2.40 | 36.70 | 3.81 | 57.59 | 11.87 | 31.89 | 26.72 | 10.70 | 37.74 |
| Education | 1.19 | 0.07 | 1.02 | 0.11 | 1.62 | 0.33 | 0.88 | 0.74 | 0.29 | 1.02 |
| Government | 1.07 | 0.06 | 0.93 | 0.10 | 1.66 | 0.34 | 1.00 | 0.84 | 0.34 | 1.18 |
| Industrial | 13.07 | 0.78 | 11.44 | 1.19 | 20.17 | 4.16 | 12.21 | 10.23 | 4.11 | 14.50 |
| Other Residential | 20.94 | 1.25 | 12.63 | 1.31 | 9.33 | 1.92 | 3.95 | 3.31 | 1.14 | 4.04 |
| Religion | 4.69 | 0.28 | 3.07 | 0.32 | 3.08 | 0.63 | 1.63 | 1.37 | 0.52 | 1.84 |
| Single Family | 1588.44 | 94.93 | 892.96 | 92.74 | 385.99 | 79.57 | 65.21 | 54.64 | 10.40 | 36.66 |
| Total | 1,673 | | 963 | | 485 | | 119 | | 28 | |





| | None | | Sligh | nt | Moderate | | Extensi | ve | Complete | |
|----------|---------|-------|--------|-------|----------|-------|---------|-------|----------|-------|
| | Count | (%) | Count | (%) | Count | (%) | Count | (%) | Count | (%) |
| Wood | 1587.17 | 94.85 | 891.33 | 92.57 | 374.02 | 77.10 | 51.15 | 42.86 | 3.72 | 13.10 |
| Steel | 25.05 | 1.50 | 21.34 | 2.22 | 44.37 | 9.15 | 28.44 | 23.83 | 10.02 | 35.33 |
| Concrete | 3.20 | 0.19 | 2.81 | 0.29 | 6.63 | 1.37 | 4.21 | 3.53 | 1.27 | 4.48 |
| Precast | 1.33 | 0.08 | 0.96 | 0.10 | 2.43 | 0.50 | 2.40 | 2.01 | 0.71 | 2.50 |
| RM | 7.09 | 0.42 | 3.43 | 0.36 | 7.25 | 1.49 | 5.86 | 4.91 | 1.03 | 3.63 |
| URM | 49.48 | 2.96 | 43.01 | 4.47 | 50.39 | 10.39 | 27.28 | 22.86 | 11.62 | 40.96 |
| МН | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total | 1,673 | | 963 | | 485 | | 119 | | 28 | |

Table 4: Expected Building Damage by Building Type (All Design Levels)

*Note:

RM

URM

Reinforced Masonry Unreinforced Masonry Manufactured Housing MH





Essential Facility Damage

Before the earthquake, the region had hospital beds available for use. On the day of the earthquake, the model estimates that only hospital beds (%) are available for use by patients already in the hospital and those injured by the earthquake. After one week, % of the beds will be back in service. By 30 days, % will be operational.

| | | # Facilities | | | | |
|----------------|-------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Classification | Total | At Least Moderate Damage > 50% | Complete Damage > 50% | With Functionality > 50% on day 1 | | |
| Hospitals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Schools | 5 | 4 | 0 | 1 | | |
| EOCs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| PoliceStations | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | | |
| FireStations | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | | |

Table 5: Expected Damage to Essential Facilities





Transportation Lifeline Damage







| Number of Locations | | | | | | |
|---------------------|------------|------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------|
| System | Component | Locations/ | With at Least | With Complete | With Funct | ionality > 50 % |
| | | Segments | Mod. Damage | Damage | After Day 1 | After Day 7 |
| Highway | Segments | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 |
| | Bridges | 13 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 13 |
| | Tunnels | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Railways | Segments | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Bridges | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Tunnels | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Facilities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Light Rail | Segments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Bridges | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Tunnels | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Facilities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bus | Facilities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ferry | Facilities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Port | Facilities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Airport | Facilities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| l | Runways | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 6: Expected Damage to the Transportation Systems

Table 6 provides damage estimates for the transportation system.

Note: Roadway segments, railroad tracks and light rail tracks are assumed to be damaged by ground failure only. If ground failure maps are not provided, damage estimates to these components will not be computed.

Tables 7-9 provide information on the damage to the utility lifeline systems. Table 7 provides damage to the utility system facilities. Table 8 provides estimates on the number of leaks and breaks by the pipelines of the utility systems. For electric power and potable water, Hazus performs a simplified system performance analysis. Table 9 provides a summary of the system performance information.





| | | # of Locations | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|---------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| System | Total # | With at Least | With Complete | with Function | with Functionality > 50 % | | | | | |
| | | Moderate Damage | Damage | After Day 1 | After Day 7 | | | | | |
| Potable Water | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| Waste Water | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| Natural Gas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| Oil Systems | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| Electrical Power | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| Communication | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |

Table 7 : Expected Utility System Facility Damage

Table 8 : Expected Utility System Pipeline Damage (Site Specific)

| System | Total Pipelines Length (miles) | Number of Leaks | Number of Breaks |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Potable Water | 208 | 54 | 13 |
| Waste Water | 125 | 27 | 7 |
| Natural Gas | 83 | 9 | 2 |
| Oil | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 9: Expected Potable Water and Electric Power System Performance

| | Total # of | Number of Households without Service | | | | | | |
|----------------|------------|--------------------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| | Households | At Day 1 | At Day 3 | At Day 7 | At Day 30 | At Day 90 | | |
| Potable Water | 2 240 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Electric Power | 3,240 | 2,701 | 1,767 | 721 | 126 | 3 | | |





Induced Earthquake Damage

Fire Following Earthquake

Fires often occur after an earthquake. Because of the number of fires and the lack of water to fight the fires, they can often burn out of control. Hazus uses a Monte Carlo simulation model to estimate the number of ignitions and the amount of burnt area. For this scenario, the model estimates that there will be 0 ignitions that will burn about 0.00 sq. mi 0.00 % of the region's total area.) The model also estimates that the fires will displace about 0 people and burn about 0 (millions of dollars) of building value.

Debris Generation

Hazus estimates the amount of debris that will be generated by the earthquake. The model breaks the debris into two general categories: a) Brick/Wood and b) Reinforced Concrete/Steel. This distinction is made because of the different types of material handling equipment required to handle the debris.

The model estimates that a total of 19,000 tons of debris will be generated. Of the total amount, Brick/Wood comprises 44.00% of the total, with the remainder being Reinforced Concrete/Steel. If the debris tonnage is converted to an estimated number of truckloads, it will require 760 truckloads (@25 tons/truck) to remove the debris generated by the earthquake.







Social Impact

Shelter Requirement

Hazus estimates the number of households that are expected to be displaced from their homes due to the earthquake and the number of displaced people that will require accommodations in temporary public shelters. The model estimates 69 households to be displaced due to the earthquake. Of these, 37 people (out of a total population of 8,926) will seek temporary shelter in public shelters.



Casualties

Hazus estimates the number of people that will be injured and killed by the earthquake. The casualties are broken down into four (4) severity levels that describe the extent of the injuries. The levels are described as follows;

- · Severity Level 1:Injuries will require medical attention but hospitalization is not needed.
- · Severity Level 2: Injuries will require hospitalization but are not considered life-threatening
- · Severity Level 3:Injuries will require hospitalization and can become life threatening if not promptly treated.
- · Severity Level 4: Victims are killed by the earthquake.

The casualty estimates are provided for three (3) times of day: 2:00 AM, 2:00 PM and 5:00 PM. These times represent the periods of the day that different sectors of the community are at their peak occupancy loads. The 2:00 AM estimate considers that the residential occupancy load is maximum, the 2:00 PM estimate considers that the educational, commercial and industrial sector loads are maximum and 5:00 PM represents peak commute time.

Table 10 provides a summary of the casualties estimated for this earthquake





Table 10: Casualty Estimates

| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 |
|------|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 2 AM | Commercial | 0.57 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.04 |
| | Commuting | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | Educational | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | Hotels | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | Industrial | 1.07 | 0.27 | 0.04 | 0.07 |
| | Other-Residential | 3.49 | 0.84 | 0.12 | 0.24 |
| | Single Family | 9.36 | 1.59 | 0.16 | 0.32 |
| | Total | 14 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| | | | | | |
| 2 PM | Commercial | 31.83 | 7.82 | 1.08 | 2.10 |
| | Commuting | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| | Educational | 5.41 | 1.38 | 0.20 | 0.40 |
| | Hotels | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | Industrial | 7.88 | 1.97 | 0.28 | 0.54 |
| | Other-Residential | 0.58 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.04 |
| | Single Family | 1.48 | 0.26 | 0.03 | 0.05 |
| | Total | 47 | 12 | 2 | 3 |
| | | | | | |
| 5 PM | Commercial | 23.41 | 5.77 | 0.81 | 1.54 |
| | Commuting | 0.14 | 0.22 | 0.34 | 0.07 |
| | Educational | 0.27 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| | Hotels | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | Industrial | 4.93 | 1.23 | 0.17 | 0.34 |
| | Other-Residential | 1.39 | 0.34 | 0.05 | 0.10 |
| | Single Family | 3.68 | 0.65 | 0.07 | 0.13 |
| | Total | 34 | 8 | 1 | 2 |





Economic Loss

The total economic loss estimated for the earthquake is 142.94 (millions of dollars), which includes building and lifeline related losses based on the region's available inventory. The following three sections provide more detailed information about these losses.





Building-Related Losses

The building losses are broken into two categories: direct building losses and business interruption losses. The direct building losses are the estimated costs to repair or replace the damage caused to the building and its contents. The business interruption losses are the losses associated with inability to operate a business because of the damage sustained during the earthquake. Business interruption losses also include the temporary living expenses for those people displaced from their homes because of the earthquake.

The total building-related losses were 141.52 (millions of dollars); 12 % of the estimated losses were related to the business interruption of the region. By far, the largest loss was sustained by the residential occupancies which made up over 66 % of the total loss. Table 11 below provides a summary of the losses associated with the building damage.



Table 11: Building-Related Economic Loss Estimates

(Millions of dollars)

| Category | Area | Single Family | Other Residential | Commercial | Industrial | Others | Total |
|-------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|------------|------------|--------|----------|
| Income Los | sses | | | | | | |
| | Wage | 0.0000 | 0.1459 | 3.0168 | 0.1157 | 0.2901 | 3.5685 |
| | Capital-Related | 0.0000 | 0.0621 | 2.6010 | 0.0663 | 0.0472 | 2.7766 |
| | Rental | 0.9380 | 0.5603 | 1.7199 | 0.0311 | 0.0858 | 3.3351 |
| | Relocation | 3.3597 | 0.3697 | 2.6279 | 0.2134 | 0.7473 | 7.3180 |
| | Subtotal | 4.2977 | 1.1380 | 9.9656 | 0.4265 | 1.1704 | 16.9982 |
| Capital Sto | ck Losses | | | | | | |
| | Structural | 7.8373 | 1.0336 | 5.1547 | 0.8281 | 1.3823 | 16.2360 |
| | Non_Structural | 47.1920 | 6.6850 | 12.4837 | 2.8019 | 3.2902 | 72.4528 |
| | Content | 22.6337 | 2.1072 | 6.8098 | 1.7632 | 1.9411 | 35.2550 |
| | Inventory | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.2341 | 0.3014 | 0.0430 | 0.5785 |
| | Subtotal | 77.6630 | 9.8258 | 24.6823 | 5.6946 | 6.6566 | 124.5223 |
| | Total | 81.96 | 10.96 | 34.65 | 6.12 | 7.83 | 141.52 |





Transportation and Utility Lifeline Losses

For the transportation and utility lifeline systems, Hazus computes the direct repair cost for each component only. There are no losses computed by Hazus for business interruption due to lifeline outages. Tables 12 & 13 provide a detailed breakdown in the expected lifeline losses.

| System | Component | Inventory Value | Economic Loss | Loss Ratio (%) |
|------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Highway | Segments | 172.2706 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Bridges | 41.7714 | 1.0143 | 2.43 |
| | Tunnels | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Subtotal | 214.0420 | 1.0143 | |
| Railways | Segments | 7.0260 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Bridges | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Tunnels | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Facilities | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Subtotal | 7.0260 | 0.0000 | |
| Light Rail | Segments | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Bridges | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Tunnels | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Facilities | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Subtotal | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | |
| Bus | Facilities | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Subtotal | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | |
| Ferry | Facilities | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Subtotal | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | |
| Port | Facilities | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Subtotal | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | |
| Airport | Facilities | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Runways | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Subtotal | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | |
| | Total | 221.07 | 1.01 | |

Table 12: Transportation System Economic Losses (Millions of dollars)





(Millions of dollars) System Component **Inventory Value Economic Loss** Loss Ratio (%) **Potable Water Pipelines** 0.0000 0.0000 0.00 Facilities 0.0000 0.0000 0.00 **Distribution Line** 6.6944 0.2421 3.62 6.6944 0.2421 Subtotal Waste Water Pipelines 0.0000 0.0000 0.00 Facilities 0.0000 0.0000 0.00 **Distribution Line** 4.0166 0.1216 3.03 4.0166 0.1216 Subtotal **Natural Gas** 0.00 Pipelines 0.0000 0.0000 Facilities 0.0000 0.0000 0.00 **Distribution Line** 2.6778 0.0417 1.56 Subtotal 2.6778 0.0417 Oil Systems **Pipelines** 0.0000 0.0000 0.00 0.00 Facilities 0.0000 0.0000 Subtotal 0.0000 0.0000 **Electrical Power** Facilities 0.0000 0.00 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 Subtotal Communication Facilities 0.0000 0.0000 0.00 0.0000 0.0000 Subtotal Total 13.39 0.41

Table 13: Utility System Economic Losses




Appendix A: County Listing for the Region

Middlesex,MA





Appendix B: Regional Population and Building Value Data

| | County Name | Population | Building Value (millions of dollars) | | | | |
|--------------|-------------|------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-------|--|--|
| State | | | Residential | Non-Residential | Total | | |
| Massachusett | S | | | | | | |
| | Middlesex | 8,926 | 1,070 | 182 | 1,252 | | |
| Total Region | | 8,926 | 1,070 | 182 | 1,252 | | |







Hazus: Earthquake Global Risk Report

| Region Name | Townsend |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| Earthquake Scenario: | Townsend Magnitude 7 Earthquake |
| Print Date: | May 07, 2020 |

Disclaimer: This version of Hazus utilizes 2010 Census Data. Totals only reflect data for those census tracts/blocks included in the user's study region.

The estimates of social and economic impacts contained in this report were produced using Hazus loss estimation methodology software which is based on current scientific and engineering knowledge. There are uncertainties inherent in any loss estimation technique. Therefore, there may be significant differences between the modeled results contained in this report and the actual social and economic losses following a specific earthquake. These results can be improved by using enhanced inventory, geotechnical, and observed ground motion data.





Table of Contents

| Section | Page # | |
|---|--------|--|
| General Description of the Region | 3 | |
| Building and Lifeline Inventory | 4 | |
| Building Inventory | | |
| Critical Facility Inventory | | |
| Transportation and Utility Lifeline Inventory | | |
| Earthquake Scenario Parameters | 7 | |
| Direct Earthquake Damage | 8 | |
| Buildings Damage | | |
| Essential Facilities Damage | | |
| Transportation and Utility Lifeline Damage | | |
| Induced Earthquake Damage | 14 | |
| Fire Following Earthquake | | |
| Debris Generation | | |
| Social Impact | 15 | |
| Shelter Requirements | | |
| Casualties | | |
| Economic Loss | 17 | |
| Building Related Losses | | |
| Transportation and Utility Lifeline Losses | | |
| | | |

Appendix A: County Listing for the Region Appendix B: Regional Population and Building Value Data





General Description of the Region

Hazus-MH is a regional earthquake loss estimation model that was developed by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and the National Institute of Building Sciences. The primary purpose of Hazus is to provide a methodology and software application to develop multi-hazard losses at a regional scale. These loss estimates would be used primarily by local, state and regional officials to plan and stimulate efforts to reduce risks from multi-hazards and to prepare for emergency response and recovery.

The earthquake loss estimates provided in this report was based on a region that includes 1 county(ies) from the following state(s):

Massachusetts

Note:

Appendix A contains a complete listing of the counties contained in the region.

The geographical size of the region is 33.06 square miles and contains 2 census tracts. There are over 3 thousand households in the region which has a total population of 8,926 people (2010 Census Bureau data). The distribution of population by Total Region and County is provided in Appendix B.

There are an estimated 3 thousand buildings in the region with a total building replacement value (excluding contents) of 1,252 (millions of dollars). Approximately 91.00 % of the buildings (and 85.00% of the building value) are associated with residential housing.

The replacement value of the transportation and utility lifeline systems is estimated to be 221 and 13 (millions of dollars), respectively.





Building and Lifeline Inventory

Building Inventory

Hazus estimates that there are 3 thousand buildings in the region which have an aggregate total replacement value of 1,252 (millions of dollars). Appendix B provides a general distribution of the building value by Total Region and County.

In terms of building construction types found in the region, wood frame construction makes up 89% of the building inventory. The remaining percentage is distributed between the other general building types.

Critical Facility Inventory

Hazus breaks critical facilities into two (2) groups: essential facilities and high potential loss facilities (HPL). Essential facilities include hospitals, medical clinics, schools, fire stations, police stations and emergency operations facilities. High potential loss facilities include dams, levees, military installations, nuclear power plants and hazardous material sites.

For essential facilities, there are 0 hospitals in the region with a total bed capacity of beds. There are 5 schools, 1 fire stations, 1 police stations and 0 emergency operation facilities. With respect to high potential loss facilities (HPL), there are no dams identified within the inventory. The inventory also includes no hazardous material sites, no military installations and no nuclear power plants.

Transportation and Utility Lifeline Inventory

Within Hazus, the lifeline inventory is divided between transportation and utility lifeline systems. There are seven (7) transportation systems that include highways, railways, light rail, bus, ports, ferry and airports. There are six (6) utility systems that include potable water, wastewater, natural gas, crude & refined oil, electric power and communications. The lifeline inventory data are provided in Tables 1 and 2.

The total value of the lifeline inventory is over 234.00 (millions of dollars). This inventory includes over 31.69 miles of highways, 13 bridges, 415.70 miles of pipes.





| Table 1: Transportation System Lifeline Inventory | | | | | | |
|---|------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| System | Component | # Locations/ # Segments | Replacement value (millions of dollars) | | | |
| Highway | Bridges | 13 | 41.7714 | | | |
| | Segments | 12 | 172.2706 | | | |
| | Tunnels | 0 | 0.0000 | | | |
| | | Subtotal | 214.0420 | | | |
| Railways | Bridges | 0 | 0.0000 | | | |
| | Facilities | 0 | 0.0000 | | | |
| | Segments | 4 | 7.0260 | | | |
| | Tunnels | 0 | 0.0000 | | | |
| | | Subtotal | 7.0260 | | | |
| Light Rail | Bridges | 0 | 0.0000 | | | |
| - | Facilities | 0 | 0.0000 | | | |
| | Segments | 0 | 0.0000 | | | |
| | Tunnels | 0 | 0.0000 | | | |
| | | Subtotal | 0.0000 | | | |
| Bus | Facilities | 0 | 0.0000 | | | |
| | | Subtotal | 0.0000 | | | |
| Ferry | Facilities | 0 | 0.0000 | | | |
| | | Subtotal | 0.0000 | | | |
| Port | Facilities | 0 | 0.0000 | | | |
| | | Subtotal | 0.0000 | | | |
| Airport | Facilities | 0 | 0.0000 | | | |
| | Runways | 0 | 0.0000 | | | |
| | | Subtotal | 0.0000 | | | |
| | | Total | 221.10 | | | |





| System | Component | # Locations / Segments | Replacement value (millions of dollars) | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Potable Water | Distribution Lines | NA | 6.6944 | | | | |
| | Facilities | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | |
| | Pipelines | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | |
| | | Subtotal | 6.6944 | | | | |
| Waste Water | Distribution Lines | NA | 4.0166 | | | | |
| | Facilities | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | |
| | Pipelines | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | |
| | | Subtotal | 4.0166 | | | | |
| Natural Gas | Distribution Lines | NA | 2.6778 | | | | |
| | Facilities | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | |
| | Pipelines | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | |
| | | Subtotal | 2.6778 | | | | |
| Oil Systems | Facilities | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | |
| | Pipelines | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | |
| | | Subtotal | 0.0000 | | | | |
| Electrical Power | Facilities | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | |
| | | Subtotal | 0.0000 | | | | |
| Communication | Facilities | 0 | 0.0000 | | | | |
| | | Subtotal | 0.0000 | | | | |
| l | | Total | 13.40 | | | | |

Table 2: Utility System Lifeline Inventory





Earthquake Scenario

Hazus uses the following set of information to define the earthquake parameters used for the earthquake loss estimate provided in this report.



| Scenario Name | Townsend Magnitude 7 Earthquake |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Type of Earthquake | Arbitrary |
| Fault Name | NA |
| Historical Epicenter ID # | NA |
| Probabilistic Return Period | NA |
| Longitude of Epicenter | -71.71 |
| Latitude of Epicenter | 42.67 |
| Earthquake Magnitude | 7.00 |
| Depth (km) | 12.00 |
| Rupture Length (Km) | NA |
| Rupture Orientation (degrees) | NA |
| Attenuation Function | Central & East US (CEUS 2008) |





Direct Earthquake Damage

Building Damage

Hazus estimates that about 3,132 buildings will be at least moderately damaged. This is over 96.00 % of the buildings in the region. There are an estimated 1,373 buildings that will be damaged beyond repair. The definition of the 'damage states' is provided in Volume 1: Chapter 5 of the Hazus technical manual. Table 3 below summarizes the expected damage by general occupancy for the buildings in the region. Table 4 below summarizes the expected damage by general building type.

1,200 1,000 800 Complete 600 Extensive 400 Moderate Slight 200 0 Single Farily commercial Agicultur Religion other Governi FUNCE Resider

Damage Categories by General Occupancy Type

Table 3: Expected Building Damage by Occupancy

| | None | | Slight | | Moderate | | Extensive | | Complete | |
|-------------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|----------|-------|-----------|-------|----------|-------|
| | Count | (%) | Count | (%) | Count | (%) | Count | (%) | Count | (%) |
| Agriculture | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.93 | 0.09 | 15.98 | 1.16 |
| Commercial | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.67 | 0.09 | 6.10 | 0.62 | 170.18 | 12.39 |
| Education | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.02 | 4.82 | 0.35 |
| Government | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 4.87 | 0.35 |
| Industrial | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.16 | 0.02 | 1.61 | 0.16 | 59.21 | 4.31 |
| Other Residential | 0.09 | 0.94 | 1.23 | 0.97 | 7.70 | 1.00 | 10.33 | 1.04 | 28.66 | 2.09 |
| Religion | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.16 | 1.27 | 0.17 | 1.79 | 0.18 | 9.71 | 0.71 |
| Single Family | 9.55 | 98.78 | 125.72 | 98.82 | 760.20 | 98.71 | 967.77 | 97.87 | 1079.76 | 78.63 |
| Total | 10 | | 127 | | 770 | | 989 | | 1,373 | |





| | None | | Slight | | Moderate | | Extensive | | Complete | |
|----------|-------|-------|--------|-------|----------|-------|-----------|-------|----------|-------|
| | Count | (%) | Count | (%) | Count | (%) | Count | (%) | Count | (%) |
| Wood | 9.66 | 99.87 | 127.08 | 99.90 | 768.68 | 99.81 | 979.99 | 99.11 | 1021.98 | 74.42 |
| Steel | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 2.22 | 0.22 | 126.87 | 9.24 |
| Concrete | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.02 | 17.86 | 1.30 |
| Precast | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 7.76 | 0.56 |
| RM | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.32 | 0.03 | 24.22 | 1.76 |
| URM | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 1.18 | 0.15 | 5.98 | 0.60 | 174.51 | 12.71 |
| МН | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total | 10 | | 127 | | 770 | | 989 | | 1,373 | |

Table 4: Expected Building Damage by Building Type (All Design Levels)

*Note:

RM

URM

Reinforced Masonry Unreinforced Masonry Manufactured Housing MH





Essential Facility Damage

Before the earthquake, the region had hospital beds available for use. On the day of the earthquake, the model estimates that only hospital beds (%) are available for use by patients already in the hospital and those injured by the earthquake. After one week, % of the beds will be back in service. By 30 days, % will be operational.

| | | # Facilities | | | | |
|----------------|-------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Classification | Total | At Least Moderate Damage > 50% | Complete Damage > 50% | With Functionality > 50% on day 1 | | |
| Hospitals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Schools | 5 | 4 | 4 | 1 | | |
| EOCs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| PoliceStations | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | | |
| FireStations | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | | |

Table 5: Expected Damage to Essential Facilities





Transportation Lifeline Damage







| | | Number of Locations | | | | | |
|------------|------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------|-------------|--|
| System | Component | Locations/ | With at Least | With Complete | With Functionality > 50 % | | |
| | | Segments | Mod. Damage | Damage | After Day 1 | After Day 7 | |
| Highway | Segments | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 | |
| | Bridges | 13 | 12 | 12 | 1 | 1 | |
| | Tunnels | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Railways | Segments | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Bridges | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Tunnels | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Facilities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Light Rail | Segments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Bridges | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Tunnels | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Facilities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Bus | Facilities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Ferry | Facilities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Port | Facilities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Airport | Facilities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| l | Runways | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Table 6: Expected Damage to the Transportation Systems

Table 6 provides damage estimates for the transportation system.

Note: Roadway segments, railroad tracks and light rail tracks are assumed to be damaged by ground failure only. If ground failure maps are not provided, damage estimates to these components will not be computed.

Tables 7-9 provide information on the damage to the utility lifeline systems. Table 7 provides damage to the utility system facilities. Table 8 provides estimates on the number of leaks and breaks by the pipelines of the utility systems. For electric power and potable water, Hazus performs a simplified system performance analysis. Table 9 provides a summary of the system performance information.





| | # of Locations | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| System | Total # | With at Least | With Complete | with Functionality > 50 % | | | | | |
| | | Moderate Damage | Damage | After Day 1 | After Day 7 | | | | |
| Potable Water | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Waste Water | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Natural Gas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Oil Systems | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Electrical Power | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Communication | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |

Table 7 : Expected Utility System Facility Damage

Table 8 : Expected Utility System Pipeline Damage (Site Specific)

| System | Total Pipelines Length (miles) | Number of Leaks | Number of Breaks |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Potable Water | 208 | 1723 | 431 |
| Waste Water | 125 | 866 | 216 |
| Natural Gas | 83 | 297 | 74 |
| Oil | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 9: Expected Potable Water and Electric Power System Performance

| | Total # of | Total # of Number of Households without Service | | | | |
|----------------|------------|---|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| | Households | At Day 1 | At Day 3 | At Day 7 | At Day 30 | At Day 90 |
| Potable Water | 3,240 | 3,234 | 3,231 | 3,213 | 0 | 0 |
| Electric Power | | 3,122 | 2,942 | 2,421 | 1,020 | 3 |





Induced Earthquake Damage

Fire Following Earthquake

Fires often occur after an earthquake. Because of the number of fires and the lack of water to fight the fires, they can often burn out of control. Hazus uses a Monte Carlo simulation model to estimate the number of ignitions and the amount of burnt area. For this scenario, the model estimates that there will be 0 ignitions that will burn about 0.00 sq. mi 0.00 % of the region's total area.) The model also estimates that the fires will displace about 0 people and burn about 0 (millions of dollars) of building value.

Debris Generation

Hazus estimates the amount of debris that will be generated by the earthquake. The model breaks the debris into two general categories: a) Brick/Wood and b) Reinforced Concrete/Steel. This distinction is made because of the different types of material handling equipment required to handle the debris.

The model estimates that a total of 178,000 tons of debris will be generated. Of the total amount, Brick/Wood comprises 45.00% of the total, with the remainder being Reinforced Concrete/Steel. If the debris tonnage is converted to an estimated number of truckloads, it will require 7,120 truckloads (@25 tons/truck) to remove the debris generated by the earthquake.







Social Impact

Shelter Requirement

Hazus estimates the number of households that are expected to be displaced from their homes due to the earthquake and the number of displaced people that will require accommodations in temporary public shelters. The model estimates 1,460 households to be displaced due to the earthquake. Of these, 785 people (out of a total population of 8,926) will seek temporary shelter in public shelters.



Casualties

Hazus estimates the number of people that will be injured and killed by the earthquake. The casualties are broken down into four (4) severity levels that describe the extent of the injuries. The levels are described as follows;

- · Severity Level 1:Injuries will require medical attention but hospitalization is not needed.
- · Severity Level 2:Injuries will require hospitalization but are not considered life-threatening
- Severity Level 3:Injuries will require hospitalization and can become life threatening if not promptly treated.
- · Severity Level 4: Victims are killed by the earthquake.

The casualty estimates are provided for three (3) times of day: 2:00 AM, 2:00 PM and 5:00 PM. These times represent the periods of the day that different sectors of the community are at their peak occupancy loads. The 2:00 AM estimate considers that the residential occupancy load is maximum, the 2:00 PM estimate considers that the educational, commercial and industrial sector loads are maximum and 5:00 PM represents peak commute time.

Table 10 provides a summary of the casualties estimated for this earthquake





Table 10: Casualty Estimates

| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 |
|------|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 2 AM | Commercial | 5.91 | 1.89 | 0.30 | 0.59 |
| | Commuting | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.01 |
| | Educational | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | Hotels | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | Industrial | 10.26 | 3.34 | 0.54 | 1.07 |
| | Other-Residential | 46.07 | 14.21 | 2.12 | 4.14 |
| | Single Family | 228.81 | 57.34 | 4.87 | 8.64 |
| | Total | 291 | 77 | 8 | 14 |
| | | | | | |
| 2 PM | Commercial | 328.88 | 104.93 | 16.68 | 32.68 |
| | Commuting | 0.23 | 0.52 | 0.62 | 0.13 |
| | Educational | 56.34 | 18.44 | 3.08 | 6.02 |
| | Hotels | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | Industrial | 76.20 | 24.75 | 4.06 | 7.92 |
| | Other-Residential | 7.69 | 2.39 | 0.37 | 0.68 |
| | Single Family | 37.28 | 9.40 | 0.93 | 1.42 |
| | Total | 507 | 160 | 26 | 49 |
| | | | | | |
| 5 PM | Commercial | 243.40 | 77.71 | 12.50 | 24.00 |
| | Commuting | 4.75 | 10.71 | 12.78 | 2.74 |
| | Educational | 2.82 | 0.92 | 0.15 | 0.30 |
| | Hotels | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | Industrial | 47.63 | 15.47 | 2.54 | 4.95 |
| | Other-Residential | 18.45 | 5.73 | 0.89 | 1.64 |
| | Single Family | 92.28 | 23.26 | 2.29 | 3.52 |
| | Total | 409 | 134 | 31 | 37 |





Economic Loss

The total economic loss estimated for the earthquake is 1,136.15 (millions of dollars), which includes building and lifeline related losses based on the region's available inventory. The following three sections provide more detailed information about these losses.





Building-Related Losses

The building losses are broken into two categories: direct building losses and business interruption losses. The direct building losses are the estimated costs to repair or replace the damage caused to the building and its contents. The business interruption losses are the losses associated with inability to operate a business because of the damage sustained during the earthquake. Business interruption losses also include the temporary living expenses for those people displaced from their homes because of the earthquake.

The total building-related losses were 1,099.82 (millions of dollars); 10 % of the estimated losses were related to the business interruption of the region. By far, the largest loss was sustained by the residential occupancies which made up over 75 % of the total loss. Table 11 below provides a summary of the losses associated with the building damage.



Table 11: Building-Related Economic Loss Estimates

| (Millions | of | dol | lars) |
|-----------|----|-----|-------|
|-----------|----|-----|-------|

| Category | Area | Single Family | Other Residential | Commercial | Industrial | Others | Total |
|----------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|------------|------------|---------|----------|
| Income Losses | | | | | | | |
| | Wage | 0.0000 | 0.8362 | 13.2451 | 0.5447 | 1.1755 | 15.8015 |
| | Capital-Related | 0.0000 | 0.3561 | 11.7066 | 0.3090 | 0.2621 | 12.6338 |
| | Rental | 13.9719 | 4.2427 | 6.2817 | 0.1151 | 0.3784 | 24.9898 |
| | Relocation | 46.1475 | 2.4533 | 8.9101 | 0.6257 | 3.2794 | 61.4160 |
| | Subtotal | 60.1194 | 7.8883 | 40.1435 | 1.5945 | 5.0954 | 114.8411 |
| Capital Stock Losses | | | | | | | |
| | Structural | 128.5117 | 8.3766 | 26.6661 | 3.9857 | 7.8516 | 175.3917 |
| | Non_Structural | 451.4789 | 50.8950 | 85.1280 | 18.9642 | 22.8131 | 629.2792 |
| | Content | 102.1618 | 10.7641 | 41.6231 | 10.7160 | 11.5083 | 176.7733 |
| | Inventory | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.4311 | 1.8343 | 0.2727 | 3.5381 |
| | Subtotal | 682.1524 | 70.0357 | 154.8483 | 35.5002 | 42.4457 | 984.9823 |
| | Total | 742.27 | 77.92 | 194.99 | 37.09 | 47.54 | 1099.82 |





Transportation and Utility Lifeline Losses

For the transportation and utility lifeline systems, Hazus computes the direct repair cost for each component only. There are no losses computed by Hazus for business interruption due to lifeline outages. Tables 12 & 13 provide a detailed breakdown in the expected lifeline losses.

| System | Component | Inventory Value | Economic Loss | Loss Ratio (%) |
|------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Highway | Segments | 172.2706 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Bridges | 41.7714 | 23.3455 | 55.89 |
| | Tunnels | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Subtotal | 214.0420 | 23.3455 | |
| Railways | Segments | 7.0260 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Bridges | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Tunnels | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Facilities | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Subtotal | 7.0260 | 0.0000 | |
| Light Rail | Segments | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Bridges | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Tunnels | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Facilities | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Subtotal | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | |
| Bus | Facilities | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Subtotal | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | |
| Ferry | Facilities | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Subtotal | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | |
| Port | Facilities | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Subtotal | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | |
| Airport | Facilities | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Runways | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.00 |
| | Subtotal | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | |
| | Total | 221.07 | 23.35 | |

Table 12: Transportation System Economic Losses (Millions of dollars)





(Millions of dollars) System Component **Inventory Value Economic Loss** Loss Ratio (%) **Potable Water** Pipelines 0.0000 0.0000 0.00 0.00 Facilities 0.0000 0.0000 **Distribution Line** 6.6944 7.7541 115.83 6.6944 7.7541 Subtotal Waste Water Pipelines 0.0000 0.0000 0.00 Facilities 0.0000 0.0000 0.00 **Distribution Line** 4.0166 3.8951 96.98 4.0166 3.8951 Subtotal **Natural Gas Pipelines** 0.0000 0.0000 0.00 Facilities 0.0000 0.0000 0.00 **Distribution Line** 2.6778 1.3344 49.83 Subtotal 2.6778 1.3344 Oil Systems **Pipelines** 0.0000 0.0000 0.00 0.00 Facilities 0.0000 0.0000 Subtotal 0.0000 0.0000 **Electrical Power** Facilities 0.0000 0.00 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 Subtotal Communication Facilities 0.0000 0.0000 0.00 0.0000 0.0000 Subtotal Total 13.39 12.98

Table 13: Utility System Economic Losses





Appendix A: County Listing for the Region

Middlesex,MA





Appendix B: Regional Population and Building Value Data

| | | Build | | g Value (millions of dollars) | | |
|--------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------|--|
| State | County Name | Population | Residential | Non-Residential | Total | |
| Massachusett | S | | | | | |
| | Middlesex | 8,926 | 1,070 | 182 | 1,252 | |
| Total Region | | 8,926 | 1,070 | 182 | 1,252 | |

Appendix C

CRB Workshop Materials





Town of Townsend Planning Board 272 Main Street 272 Main Street, Townsend, Massachusetts 01469 978-597-1722 bfaxon@townsend.ma.us

April 7, 2020

Hello,

The Town of Townsend was recently awarded a grant from the Commonwealth's <u>Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness</u> <u>Program</u> to identify priority action items that will improve our community's resilience to climate change and to update our Hazard Mitigation Plan.

As a leader in our community, we hope you or a designee can join the Town at an important, invitation-only, virtual workshop on April 14th. The workshop will include three live webinars discussing climate risks and adaptation related to the following categories:

- 8:30-10:00AM: Townsend's Infrastructure
- 1:00-2:30PM: Townsend's Environment
- 4:00-5:30PM: Townsend's Society

The workshop will follow the Community Resilience Building guidance developed by the Nature Conservancy, which has been successfully used in over 200 communities. The workshop's objectives are to:

- Identify natural hazards that present the greatest threat to the community of Townsend
- Evaluate strengths and vulnerabilities of residents, infrastructure, and natural resources of Townsend
- Identify immediate opportunities to advance actions that reduce the impact of hazards and increase resilience in Townsend

By participating in this effort, Townsend will be designated as an MVP Community and will be eligible for future grants that promote resilience. We will follow up on the workshop with a virtual public engagement strategy to receive broader input on the planning process.

<u>Please RSVP for the workshop by Monday, April 13th by replying to this email (or, by replying to Adria Boynton at boyntona@wseinc.com) or responding to a forthcoming calendar invitation. If you RSVP via email, please specify the webinar that you plan to virtually attend.</u>

We will follow up with more information regarding meeting materials and logistics for joining the webinar. I hope you or a designee can virtually join us at these important workshops. Thank you for your consideration and participation!

Sincerely,

Beth Faxon

Planning Board & Zoning Board of Appeals Administrator, Town of Townsend Townsend Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness (MVP) planning grant municipal contact bfaxon@townsend.ma.us 978-597-1722/direct cell: 978-697-1556







MVP Principles

A community-led, accessible process that

- Employs local knowledge and buy-in •
- Utilizes partnerships and leverages existing efforts .
- Is based in best available climate projections and data
- Incorporates principles of nature-based solutions .
- . Demonstrates pilot potential and is proactive Reaches and responds to risks faced by $\ensuremath{\mathsf{EJ}}$. communities and vulnerable populations

Why nature-based?

Why nature-based? Where appropriate, nature-based solutions can be more cost-effective, protect water quality and quantity, sustain lands that provide food and recreation opportunities, reduce erosion, and minimize temperature increases associated with developed areas and climate change.



6

5





TOWNSEND'S CLIMATE ADAPTATION & HAZARD MITIGATION GOALS • Protection: Planning Cultural and historic resources Public Outreach Critical infrastructure · Capacity • Funding Public utilities Public facilities and services Coordination Homes and businesses Future development Environmental features Vulnerable residents Open Space and Land Conservation 8









7



| Population | | Townsend | Massachusette |
|------------------------------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|
| :010: | | 8,926 residents | 6,547,790 |
| :017: | | 9,418 residents | 6,902,149 |
| lge | | | |
| Jnder 18 years: | | 25.3% | 20% |
| i5+ years: | | 12.7% | 17% |
| Additional Information | | | |
| ledian household income: | | \$84,630 | \$74,167 |
| Persons in poverty: | | 4.0% | 10.5% |
| Vith a disability: | | 12.0% | 7.9% |
| louseholds with limited English pr | oficiency: | 3.8% | 23.1% |







• Join us for the virtual CRB Workshop on April 14th!

Pre-CRB Workshop Stakeholder Survey Results (7 Responses)

| Extreme temperatures | Flooding | Snowstorms | Drought and brush fire | How have these hazards impacted you or your department? Memories of climate hazards could include impacts from: - Frequently flooded local roads - The heatwave during July 2018 or the 2016 drought | How prepared do you feel Townsend is for future extreme events? | What does Townsend do well to to mitigate hazards or prepare for climate change? Examples could include, but are not limited to: - Town shelters, warming centers, and cooling centers - Regional co | What are the opportunities to address potential natural or climatic hazards? Examples could include, but are not limited to: - Providing transportation to shelters for vulnerable populations, incl |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Hazard of least concern | Hazard of some concern | Hazard of most concern | Hazard of significant concern | Winter Storms/Flooding impacts our local ballfields - especially late season snow storms | Not prepared | Not sure - I know the senior center does alot with the elderly during emergencies. | Not sure |
| Hazard of most concern | Hazard of significant concern | Hazard of significant concern | Hazard of most concern | cancellation of public hearings/meetings & occassional temporary office closures. | Somewhat prepared | Towsend has adopted zoning bylaws for sustainable development, solar & wind installations, Wetlands protection, Stormwater managment. Townsend has building codes that ensure safe construction and has a robust inspection program. | Addressing communications accessibility & internet connectivity for all residents of Townsend. Identifying and assessing the ground and surface water supply in Townsend with intent to Protect drinking water. Identifying current impacts to groundwater and, ways to prevent groundwater contamination. Exploring alternate water supplies in the event of drought. Outfitting shelters with off the grid energy supply. Aquiring key land parcels to protect water supply. |
| Hazard of some | Hazard of some | Hazard of most concern | Hazard of most concern | Late winter heavy snow delays the start of soccer season and can hamper field condition. Heat waves pose a hazard to player safety, increase water use to maintain fields and can hurt or damage fields | I am not sure on the | We are part of a league incorporating many area towns, so the network we have to share with is a strength. I know Townsend has the TECO to support families in need which is a plus. I am not sure I am familiar enough with the other services in town. | Socialization of benefits available during hazards could improve. Addressing frequently flooded roads as well as any poorly worn roads would be a good investment. Road side tree clearing as well. Mobility could be key to safety during certain bazards. |
| | | | | | | | |
| Hazard of some concern | Hazard of significant concern | Hazard of least concern | Hazard of most concern | Shut down of operations | Not prepared | unknown | Virtual tools to inform pubic |
| Hazard of least concern | Hazard of significant concern | Hazard of significant concern | Hazard of significant concern | Snow storms and flooding has most impact. The lack of adequate staffing, traffic direction and control equipment, etc. are all factors. | Somewhat prepared | Not sure I'm qualified to answer this. On a hazard mitigation front, we cooperate with adjoining municipalities and regional associations for police service assistance (SWAT, active shooter, large scale events, etc.). | Providing information to the public is a critical shortcoming. The town does not have a civilian alert system such as CodeRed or Nixel. Signage for first responders (police in particular) is lacking as well. |
| Hazard of significant concern | Hazard of significant concern | Hazard of significant concern | Hazard of some concern | Lack of quick access to shelter space. When the Pine Ridge Fire happened in 2/2019 TEMA did not have access to designated shelter space. A quick plan was put together and use of a church coordinated. While the above did not impact my example, they or other weather events could. When the heatwave hit in 2019 and the cooling center was activated it was difficult to coordinate a town response as databases of volunteers were not available. Prior snow / ice storms had significant impact on the community. When the power is out and there is not any access to TV/Cable an AM Alert system would be an asset. Some in town were out for an extended period (two weeks). Cell phones could not be charged unless folks had a car charger or traveled to a location with power. A hand crank AM radio could be used to receive messages. in the future. | Somewhat prepared | The fire department is part of District 6 Mutual Aid and the police department is part of NEMLEC. Both alliances are good for single incidents, but might not provide as much support for a region wide disruption. Townsend needs to look dosely are regionalized public safety services to more intensely share resource. The CEMP exists but is very much in need of updating. The basic services of having shelter space is accounted for but items such as security and vetting need to occur. TEMA has impediments to responding quickly (i.e. not maintaining a active list of keys/codes to access emergency management equipment (not police / fire). There is not a process in place for ensuring all equipment is up and running (i.e. light tower batteries dead when needed by the PD, no one available to move the trailer etc.) Non profit organizations / service based groups are coordinating their efforts. | The Sr. Center / Council on aging does a good job compiling data for their populations/groups. They do a good job with van service. For a larger scale event agreements need to be in place for ambulance transport and transportation for larger groups. Creation of more public/private partnerships for response. For example tree clearing after a storm, while our highway department works really hard, they are a small crew for such a large land area. |
| Hazard of some concern | Hazard of most concern | Hazard of some concern | Hazard of some concern | taking about 36 units offline for almost 2 years now. Not a climate related problem, as far as I know, but easy to imaging similar events related to climate. | Somewhat prepared | Nothing that I know of. | Stop issuing building permits in flood zones. Try to get FEMA to update the maps, as they are way out of date. |

| | | comments or questions you |
|---|---|--|
| What resources does your department need to | How does your department share information | would like to share with the |
| be more prepared? | with the public? | project team? |
| | Not a town dont, we communicate directly via | |
| N/A - Not a town dept: | email/text to our families: | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Funding for climate adaptation projects;Staff | | |
| and training; Additional guidance related to department operations before, during, and after | | |
| a hazard event;Data or studies showing the | | |
| projected impacts of future climate hazards in | cable TV channel ;Online, including through the | |
| Townsend; | Town website and YouTube page; | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Additional guidance related to department | | |
| operations before, during, and after a hazard event: | Facebook, and internal mailing lists: | No |
| crent, | | |
| | Through printed media; including reports, fact | |
| C | sheets, or brochures;Online, including through | |
| Supplies or equipment; | the Town website and YouTube page; | |
| | | I am taking the opportunity to |
| | | learn more about the |
| Staff and training:Supplies or | Online, including through the Town website and | the information gathered to apply |
| equipment;Additional guidance related to | YouTube page;Strategic outreach to vulnerable | to emergency planning which |
| department operations before, during, and after | populations, such as elderly residents or other | hasn't been a focus for quite some |
| a hazard event; | groups; | time (last plan 2009?). |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Inrough public events, including virtual webinars: Through printed media: including | |
| | reports, fact sheets, or brochures;Online, | It would be great to have this |
| | including through the Town website and | survey more details - for example |
| Funding for climate adaptation | YouTube page;Strategic outreach to vulnerable | allow a matrix of responses for |
| Funding for climate adaptation projects:Supplies or equipment: | populations, such as elderly residents or other groups: | preparedness. Allow comments for all questions. |
| | o | |
| Additional guidance related to department | | |
| operations before, during, and after a hazard | Online, including through the Town web-the and | |
| impacts of future climate hazards in Townsend: | YouTube page: | |
| , | | |



Town of Townsend Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness (MVP) Planning Grant

CRB Workshop Webinars Tuesday, April 14, 2020

| Welcome and Introductions | 5 minutes |
|---|------------|
| MVP Program Overview from Hillary King | 10 minutes |
| Overview of Hazards and Climate Change Data | 15 minutes |
| Risk Matrix | 15 minutes |
| Action Items | 40 minutes |
| Wrap Up and Next Steps | 5 minutes |













MVP Core Principles Furthering a community identified priority action to address climate change impacts. Utilizing best available climate change data* for a proactive solution. Data from locallevel climate change vulnerability studies may also be used. Employing nature-based solutions (NBS). Involving Environmental Justice Populations in meaningful decision-making, as defined and outlined in the 2017 EEA EJ Policy, and giving special consideration to Climate Vulnerable Populations. Achieving broad and multiple community benefits. Utilizing regional solutions toward regional benefit. Committing to monitoring project success and maintaining the project into the future. Pursuing approaches from which other MVP communities and the state can learn.

MA Climate Change Clearinghouse: http://www.resilientma.org/

7



MVP Grant Types Define and characterize hazards using latest science and data Identify existing and future community ulrerabilities and strengths Develop and prioritize community adaption actions Determine overall priority actions Receive MVP designation 8















| | Massachusetts Integrated State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan (2018) Massachusetts Climate Change Projections (NECSC, 2018 on resilientma.org) Massachusetts Climate Change Adaptation Report (MA EEA, 2011) |
|---------------------|---|
| APPLICABLE PLANS | Montachusett Region Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, 2015 Update Townsend Open Space & Recreation Plan, 2013-2020 Master Plan (2019/in progress) |
| 14 | |




































.... HAZARD POTENTIAL OF DAMS Dam Hazard Potential Ownership Townsend Harbor Dam Significant Hazard Hollingsworth & Vose Company Mason Road Dam Low Hazard John M. and Barbara Delaney Bixby Reservoir Dam Low Hazard David R. Dyer Adams Dam N/A Town of Townsend Graves Pond Dam N/A Unknown Pearl Hill Brook Dam Low Hazard DCR - Dept. of Conservation & Recreation VFW Dam N/A DFG - Dept. of Fish and Game 32







33

























































Townsend Webinar: Environment

Attendees – Partial List 18 Total*

| Names | Department |
|-------------------|---|
| Beth Faxon | Planning and Zoning Board, Administrator |
| James Sartell | Chief of Police |
| Keith Turgeon | Townsend-Ashby Youth Baseball and Softball Assoc. |
| Lance McNally | Planning Board, Chair |
| Susan McNally | Library Trustees |
| Veronica Kell | Planning Board, Clerk |
| William Cadogan | Zoning Board of Appeals |
| Kimberly Roth | MA DEP, Central Regional Office |
| Hillary King | Regional MVP Coordinator (Central) |
| Laura Shifrin | Chair, Housing Authority, Planning Board |
| Leigh Reddin | Highway Department & Conservation Department |
| Linda Durette | Townsend Cultural Council |
| Al Futterman | Nashua River Watershed Association, Land Programs and Outreach Director |
| Ray Jackson | Society for the Protection of NH Forests, Land Steward |
| +1 (617) 655-3913 | * |
| +1 (978) 597-8813 | * |
| +1 (978) 808-1733 | * |
| Adria Boynton | Weston and Sampson |
| John Frey | Weston and Sampson |

*Potentially duplicates of people calling in for audio

Webinar Notes

Anecdotes:

Squannacook

Forests will always be a strength; Street trees are both a strength and vulnerability. Should be considered seperately.

Parks, Future Development (i.e. undeveloped areas) wetlands are strengths in terms of water storage. Bylaws of Zoning are in great condition, but also overlay aquifers (often).

Take the time to reeducate communities

Adaptation Strategies mentioned during Discussion :

- 1. We need to look at ways to capture and collect water, limiting cutting of trees that soak up water.
- 2. Limit development in the aquifer zone overlays.
- 3. Forests Planting species that are suited for warmer, drier conditions
- 4. Does zoning look into future potential scenarios of density population, climate, hazards?

Forests and Canopy

Forest Health or a Forest Management Plan Outreach for limited Wetland Forest removal from backyards

Street Trees

Limiting A\C in school Public Awareness for Street Trees

Parks and Open Space

Park maintenance, i.e. limited mowing, removing grass clippings, and chemicals application, can improve water quality Maintenance for Craven Field and the Spaulding Ballfields are handled by youth league and not the rec/parks dept

Waterbodies and Wetlands

Land Acquisition near floodplains Bank restoration and stabilization Aquifer protection (Overlay district) to limit types of activities

Future Development

Considerate of any building within updated FEMA floodplains

Webinar Chat

[4/14/2020 12:53 PM] Thanks for joining us for today's webinar!

[4/14/2020 12:54 PM]

Comment on our hazard map by checking out our virtual whiteboard: https://www.webwhiteboard.com/board/88yeqejb

[4/14/2020 1:03 PM] James Sartell:

I can see the first slide

[4/14/2020 1:07 PM] James Sartell:

James Sartell (Police Department)

[4/14/2020 1:10 PM] Hillary King:

is this meeting video capable if we'd like to 'show ourselves' while speaking to people?

[4/14/2020 1:16 PM] James Sartell:

Would the lack of an updated emergency plan for the town be considered for grant funding?

[4/14/2020 1:22 PM] James Sartell:

So in essence, a creative grant writer.

[4/14/2020 1:22 PM] Veronica Kell:

Same question with respect to sections of the Master Plan

[4/14/2020 1:23 PM] James Sartell:

An overall emergency plan seems completely relevant to those objectives.

[4/14/2020 1:37 PM] Keith Turgeon: I think that might be the soccer fields, and not Craven field (baseball)

[4/14/2020 1:39 PM] Keith Turgeon: from that map, Townsend-Ashby Squannacook is the soccer complex

[4/14/2020 1:41 PM] James Sartell: Wondering if Jim Smith should be consulted.

[4/14/2020 1:41 PM] James Sartell: He certainly would be aware of these types of issues.

[4/14/2020 1:46 PM] James Sartell: He is the director of Highway Department

[4/14/2020 1:46 PM] Beth Faxon: he is on the core team and did attend the team meeting

[4/14/2020 1:47 PM] Thanks for the reminder Beth and Jay - we will be following up with Jim

[4/14/2020 1:48 PM] Beth Faxon: thanks!

[4/14/2020 1:58 PM] James Sartell: I've got another phone conference scheduled for 2.

[4/14/2020 2:06 PM] Ok - thanks for joining us Jay!

[4/14/2020 2:11 PM] Hillary King: are you still using the white board?

[4/14/2020 2:12 PM] Yes! Please feel free to comment on the white board!

[4/14/2020 2:12 PM] Hillary King: can you please provide the link again?

[4/14/2020 2:12 PM] Absolutely: https://www.webwhiteboard.com/board/88yeqejb

[4/14/2020 2:14 PM] Laura Shifrin: Maintain them. By trimming more often

[4/14/2020 2:17 PM] Laura Shifrin: We probably have more trees then most communities. Over 1/3 of our entire town is State Forest....

[4/14/2020 2:17 PM] Hillary King:

Yes, and NYC has partnered with the USFS and others in an "urban forestry" program, looking at climate change and considering appropriate replacement species as existing trees die out.

[4/14/2020 2:17 PM] Hillary King: I'm looking for a link...

[4/14/2020 2:18 PM] Laura Shifrin: Most cities need this help

[4/14/2020 2:23 PM] Frey, John:

Park maintenance, i.e. limited mowing, removing grass clippings, and chemicals application, can improve water quality

[4/14/2020 2:24 PM] Great, thanks John!

[4/14/2020 2:25 PM] Keith Turgeon:

Maintenance for Craven Field and the Spaulding Ballfields are handled by youth league and not the rec/parks dept

[4/14/2020 2:26 PM] Hillary King:

yes, "green infrastructure" can be a form of maintenance to reduce mowing and improve biodiversity.

[4/14/2020 2:29 PM] Hillary King:

here is a link to the NYC Street Tree map that was developed. https://treemap.nycgovparks.org/tree-map/learn/about there are headings to see the map, understand the ecological benefits, learn about how the community can participate in stewardship, and see the partnerships that have developed to care for this important living system

[4/14/2020 2:29 PM] Hillary King:

I heard about it on this podcast - it was really interesting! https://www.americaadapts.org/episodes/2019/8/5/resilient-new-york-urban-forestryshared-stewardship-and-climate-adaptation

[4/14/2020 2:35 PM] Laura Shifrin:

There is currently no development in town. How do you encourage that? There is an economic impact to all of this

[4/14/2020 2:37 PM] Laura Shifrin: Yes. There is none

[4/14/2020 2:38 PM] Beth Faxon:

https://www.mass.gov/files/documents/2017/11/03/2015-29-sustainable-development-principles-attachment-a.pdf?_ga=2.147608247.1014568919.1586889479-161946517.1586287371

[4/14/2020 2:39 PM] Laura Shifrin: That might be helpful if we encouraged them

[4/14/2020 2:39 PM] Beth Faxon:

State sustainable development principles

[4/14/2020 2:39 PM] Hillary King:

Something that has come up in several instances is the thought that people on the coast need to considering 'retreat' and could be displaced in a large storm.

[4/14/2020 2:40 PM] Hillary King:

where will these people go? considering long-term masterplanning in light of this may be worth thinking about

[4/14/2020 2:40 PM] Laura Shifrin: The economics of this town are difficultyou need more commercial and business to cover the taxes needed to keep some balancwe

[4/14/2020 2:41 PM] Hillary King:

The wild & scenic stewardship plan is here: https://www.wildandscenicnashuarivers.org/uploads/8/9/9/1/89911665/nsn_stewardship_pl an_appendices_07-10-18_web.pdf Townsend is reviewed on p30 of the PDF.

[4/14/2020 2:41 PM] Laura Shifrin: There is a huge housing shortage ...there is no where for the coastal community to go

[4/14/2020 2:41 PM] James Sartell: guinea fowl eat ticks

[4/14/2020 2:41 PM] Laura Shifrin: Good point Jim

[4/14/2020 2:42 PM] William Cadogan: Thanks Jim!

[4/14/2020 2:42 PM] Laura Shifrin: Beth....good idea....we have plenty of land they could use

[4/14/2020 2:43 PM] Laura Shifrin: It's good exercise :)

[4/14/2020 2:44 PM] Laura Shifrin: Love that one!

[4/14/2020 2:44 PM] Hillary King: The NYC parks and rec webpage has a great section on stewardship too.

[4/14/2020 2:44 PM] Hillary King: https://www.nycgovparks.org/reg/stewardship

[4/14/2020 2:49 PM] Laura Shifrin: Thank you. See you later

[4/14/2020 2:49 PM] Beth Faxon: Thank you!! [4/14/2020 2:49 PM] Veronica Kell: Thank you!

[4/14/2020 2:49 PM] James Sartell: thank you Adria

[4/14/2020 2:49 PM] Thank you everyone for participating!

[4/14/2020 2:50 PM] Please don't hesitate to reach out with any questions!



Environment Webinar - Annotated Hazard Map Shared through a Virtual Whiteboard

Townsend Webinar: Infrastructure

Attendees: 18

- 1. Hillary King
- 2. Lance McNally
- 3. Susan McNally
- 4. Beth Faxon
- 5. Keith Turgeon
- 6. Steve Roy
- 7. Kimberly Roth
- 8. Veronica Kell
- 9. Rebecca McEnroe
- 10. Robert Templeton
- 11. Kym Craven
- 12. Matt Crean
- 13. Rick Bailey
- 14. Leigh Reddin
- 15. James Sartell
- 16. Laura Shifrin
- 17. William Cadogan
- 18. Adria Boynton

Webinar Notes

Participants agreed with the top 4 hazards previously identified

Veronica suggested adding Public Water Supply to the Critical Infrastructure priorities. Water Department has 5 wells but only two have generators

Bill referenced past issues with Unitil Electric and problems with line maintenance and tree management that lead to power outages

Bill commented that the town does not have a good mapping for culverts and stormwater drainage. Culverts may be found on the BETA map. There is a need to conduct an assessment of culverts, identify areas for stormwater management including green infrastructure and possibly assess existing GI in Town such as Coppersmith Way stormwater and septic systems with advanced nitrogen removal.

Rebecca noted that radio communications between wells and storage tanks needs improvement

West Townsend roads are often flooded

Beth mentioned that there is a need for IT/technology upgrades for municipal staff

Veronica noted that an assessment of Dams in Townsend is necessary. James supported this comment.

Robert mentioned that there are many remote areas in Townsend that lack access to reliable internet.

Veronica stated that she liked the concepts of cloudburst streets and that it could align with the Towns interest of improving mobility and walkability.

Dams require an assessment of existing conditions and could be related to repairs or dam removals and new land acquisition.

Electric and communications – Need better SCADA to increase reliability for water supply, there was a question on how police and fire are currently communicating during emergencies and extreme events. CTOW, cell towers on wheels.

There is potential to add more PV and energy reliability/resilience in the Town

Wells and septic – The Town should investigate BOH regulation to promote septic system pumping and reporting and more private well testing.

Need to assess the location of public wells and threats from flooding.

Drought – investigate additional water supply inter-municipal connection with other communities such as Fitchburg.

Beth suggested conducting an aquifer study and assess the impacts of drought on surface water and groundwater in Town

Veronica suggested exploring more land acquisition associated with future public water supply

Skype Chat

[4/14/2020 8:37 AM] Kohn, Amanda: Good morning, I've created a whiteboard with the critical facilities list at https://www.webwhiteboard.com/board/88yeqejb

[4/14/2020 8:40 AM] Kimberly Roth:

Something happened with the connectivity of the screen sharing. Can others see the slides? Ok, I'll try to refresh Thanks!

[4/14/2020 8:41 AM] Rick Bailey: you need to minimize the whiteboard if it is open.

[4/14/2020 9:12 AM] Beth Faxon:

Assessors dept. can help with number of homes. Apt. buildings are on public water. - L. Shifrin via text.

[4/14/2020 9:15 AM] Beth Faxon:

There were short (less than an hour) power outages in Townsend yesterday. - L. Shifrin

[4/14/2020 9:16 AM] Rebecca McEnroe:

the water department has a radio system to allow wells to communicate with the storage tanks. We would like to improve the comminication system.

[4/14/2020 9:17 AM] Hillary King:

I have to jump off now, as I have a couple of calls to make between now and 1pm. Will be back for the 'Environmental' session then. Great work everyone! I really appreciate everyone's time today.

[4/14/2020 9:17 AM] leigh: Hi all - I think culverts are on the BETA stormwater map

[4/14/2020 9:19 AM] leigh: Hi again, its a mix. Some of the bridges/culverts are in bad shape

[4/14/2020 9:20 AM] Beth Faxon: would like to improve the technology/IT network for town hall municipal staff allow for telework - L. Shifrin

[4/14/2020 9:22 AM] James Sartell: Dam breaks are always an issue.

[4/14/2020 9:28 AM] Let me know if you can hear the video audio

[4/14/2020 9:28 AM] Steve: no

[4/14/2020 9:45 AM] Beth Faxon: rasing roads in low lying areas. impervious surfaces.

[4/14/2020 9:46 AM] Beth Faxon: yes, I meant using pervious pavement

[4/14/2020 9:47 AM] Rebecca McEnroe: if roads are raised need to coordinate with ulilties below grade

[4/14/2020 9:52 AM] Veronica Kell: Perhaps we should include the ability for the Town to acquire land in flood plains if dams are removed

[4/14/2020 9:52 AM] Beth Faxon: assess what residents homes are not serviced by internet.

[4/14/2020 9:55 AM] Beth Faxon: assess any risk to cell tower - any potential interference of emergency communications

[4/14/2020 9:58 AM] Beth Faxon: assess current zoning and BOH regulations for best practice and sustainable development.

[4/14/2020 10:00 AM] leigh: Consider cost to track that reporting....

[4/14/2020 10:03 AM] Beth Faxon: aquifer studies, impact of drought on ground and surface water supply

[4/14/2020 10:03 AM] Laura Shifrin: Great idea Beth [4/14/2020 10:05 AM] Veronica Kell:

Again, for public water supply, does it make sense for the town to acquire land surrounding town wells.

[4/14/2020 10:06 AM] Laura Shifrin: On going

[4/14/2020 10:06 AM] James Sartell: I have additional feedback on asking cell companies for access

[4/14/2020 10:06 AM] James Sartell: I will hit you offline.

[4/14/2020 10:07 AM] Laura Shifrin: yes

[4/14/2020 10:08 AM] Laura Shifrin: This is Laura Shifrin I see all of these as on going...

[4/14/2020 10:15 AM] Laura Shifrin: Thank you!

[4/14/2020 10:15 AM] Veronica Kell: Thanks, Adria and Steve.

[4/14/2020 10:16 AM] Thank you all for participating!

Townsend Webinar: Society

Attendees: 14

- 1. Shirley Coit (phone)
- 2. Keith Turgeon
- 3. James Gates (phone)
- 4. Lance McNally
- 5. Susan McNally
- 6. Laura Shifrin
- 7. Kym Craven
- 8. James Sartell
- 9. Robert Templeton
- 10. Veronica Kell
- 11. Beth Faxon
- 12. Hillary King
- 13. Adria Boynton
- 14. Amanda Kohn

Webinar Notes

Hazards

• No questions or comments

Features

- Veronica food security
 - o TEO is distributing a lot of food during current crisis
 - o Agriculture and farming in
- Kim Craven
 - o Social service organization developed after fire last year
 - o Business association
 - $\circ~$ TEO 22 seniors for food and now its up to 80 for food delivery
 - Volunteer-run primarily
 - We have lost a lot of farms in Townsend
 - Agriculture and impact of COVID 19

Vulnerability and Strength

- At risk of isolation (V)
 - Can start to think and prepare a little bit differently, making this an opportunity to reduce vulnerability
 - o Real difference between young adults and children
 - o Learned in fire that there is a whole group that is disconnected
 - Friends of the Seniors and COA are very well connected.

- Where we aren't connected is folks that are in lower income housing lacking community network.
- Add in limited English speakers (V)
 - Lack of awareness on this as a need.
- When we needed Hawthrone Brook as a shelter, it was not available. They made a make shift shelter with the places of worship, but there were no showers.
 - Right now we are using a District Asset as a shelter rather than a municipal building.
- Local businesses
 - Both each of the priority hazards can wipe out a business, but whether it's the ice storm, sink hole, or a barn blowing over they are there to help.
- Harbor Station is set back, but if the dam was overtopped it could be vulnerable.
- Fire is a strength, well positioned to help with isolation.
 - o Locations east, west, central
- PD department should be set.
- Food security
 - Service delivery of food is a strength
 - o Vulnerability
 - Secured a larger warehouse
 - o Cost and reliance on Boston Food Bank is a vulnerability
 - o Local farms have shut down vulnerability
 - Food bank does not have a generator. Was a worry on 4/13. 3 commercial refrigerator and a walk in freezer.

Actions

- Housing authority is trying to meet statewide guidelines on low income housing.
- We don't have services for vulnerable populations. Seniors are taken care of, but we are constantly providing services to (non-eligible housing). More subsidized housing increases strain on non-profit groups.
- How do we increase capacity?
 - Staff, meeting needs on volunteer basis
 - Ripple effect
 - Veteran housing was coming and then there was going to be an impact on PD and FD.
 - o Infrastructure,
 - Grant funding is being pursued with churches
 - Formal organization to provide services, traditional municipal service model may not work because its free to adapt and be nimble.
 - PD have recovery coaches.
 - Food, fuel assistance, transportation, burial assistance, counseling and not having insurance
 - o Completed community fundraiser, Lyft gave some credits for use
- Build connectivity. Bringing people to the band concerts. Network of community dinners.
- Need funding to create opportunities for connection. Vouchers for band concerts or other community events, food, experiences.

- Fitchburg and Leomister offer ESL classes. Some clergy offer to help with ESL. Need some more resource. MWCC program ongoing.
- Library program for adult language. MOC is available to Townsend.
- Planner in Ayer used to be in Nashua and Lowell. We could use his vision and success to advance economic development in Townsend.
- Solar farm is already connected to largest apartment complexes.
- There are apartments along the river. Need to consider how to protect those buildings.
- Long-term—commercial refrigerators are good but we need more room. We are using two offsite facilities. Could use generator and walk in refrigerator at TOE.
- @TOE add more victory garden/community gardens.
- Incentivize farms.
- Need to do a deeper dive to see if flooding is an issue and other extreme weather events.
- Widely unpopular, but we should think about regionalization.
- Locally we don't have a lot of ability to ramp up police and fire.
- When Ashby was without a chief, they looked into this a bit but it didn't have much traction.
- Devise a strategy for regional crisis response.
- Fire has a very specific mobilization plan. NMLAC comes for crisis but they don't have a mobilization plan.
- Technology is big—see notes of call.
- Arabic and Spanish translation available.
- In crisis we need it to happen quickly and we could use a direct contact
- Montachusett Regional- translated into Mung. (Veronica)

Priority/Timeframe

- Isolation seems critical and feasible
- Non-English speakers –
- Unable to adapt -
- Shelters -
- Local businesses need to start in the short term, but a long term project
- Municipal building -
- Food security –

Many of these are ongoing, but some we could get started right away.

Meeting Chat

[4/14/2020 4:01 PM] James Sartell: You're welcome.

[4/14/2020 4:09 PM] Hillary King:

since I came on late, I do not have any previous chat entries

[4/14/2020 4:09 PM] Hillary King:

if you might put that white board link up again, I would appreciate it! Apologies again for jumping on late!

[4/14/2020 4:10 PM]

Link to Hazard Map: https://www.webwhiteboard.com/board/88yeqejb The action couldn't be completed. Please try again later. [4/14/2020 4:10 PM] Link to Hazard Map: https://www.webwhiteboard.com/board/88yeqejb

[4/14/2020 4:18 PM] Beth Faxon: Thank you Hillary

[4/14/2020 4:18 PM] Hillary King:

Any time Beth!

[4/14/2020 4:18 PM] James Sartell: Beth..can you call me real quick? 978-300-5808 is the direct line to my desk.

[4/14/2020 4:38 PM] Laura Shifrin: V only

[4/14/2020 4:41 PM] Laura Shifrin: Great point for opportunity

[4/14/2020 4:41 PM] Laura Shifrin: Not sure about the strength ...will need reaching out and training

[4/14/2020 4:48 PM] James Sartell:

Coming up on 30 years old here...

[4/14/2020 4:49 PM] James Sartell:

Fire are definitely strength. Positioned well in town to deal with isolation

[4/14/2020 4:49 PM] James Sartell:

locations...east, west, central

[4/14/2020 4:50 PM] Hillary King:

This is Hillary, I'm leaving the web chat and transferring to listening via phone. Please reach out with any follow-up questions for the MVP Program. hillary.king@mass.gov - thanks everyone for your efforts today!

[4/14/2020 4:55 PM] James Sartell:

No issues at PD from that perspective.

[4/14/2020 4:56 PM] Laura Shifrin:

Those assessments would be good for our town to know what shortages we have

[4/14/2020 4:57 PM] Beth Faxon:

cooling centers transportation to shelters for isolated citizens

[4/14/2020 4:57 PM] Laura Shifrin:

Transportation is lacking for sure

Shelters is what I was referring to

[4/14/2020 5:00 PM] James Sartell: The organization behind transportation alone

[4/14/2020 5:02 PM] Laura Shifrin:

Kym is right in that almost every crisis we have had recently has been community driven and it's not just 1 or 2 people....this is a group that reaches out to others to lend a hand

[4/14/2020 5:04 PM] Laura Shifrin: They do these things for people without "public assistance". It is informal

[4/14/2020 5:06 PM] Laura Shifrin: That is also done for the few new businesses that try to start in town

[4/14/2020 5:07 PM] Beth Faxon: perhaps a library program?

[4/14/2020 5:08 PM] Laura Shifrin: That's a great idea

[4/14/2020 5:08 PM] Laura Shifrin: Not everyone may be comfortable going to the church...so the senior center does not have to be just for seniors

[4/14/2020 5:12 PM] Beth Faxon: in home visitation services?

[4/14/2020 5:12 PM] Beth Faxon:

medical and check ins

[4/14/2020 5:13 PM] Laura Shifrin:

Atwood Acres and Townsend Woods are now senior housing and considered affordable...they have community rooms....we are lacking this and have been working for the last 3-5 years to get another project going

[4/14/2020 5:14 PM] Laura Shifrin: The next project for affordable housing is to include more services to the community

[4/14/2020 5:18 PM] Beth Faxon:

create Agricultural advisory committee and organize farmers markets & public outreach for the gardening programs Kym is referring to>

[4/14/2020 5:18 PM] Laura Shifrin: Great idea

[4/14/2020 5:20 PM] James Sartell: A bit of all those things

[4/14/2020 5:20 PM] James Sartell:

the discussion earlier today about technology is huge

[4/14/2020 5:20 PM] Beth Faxon:

yes

[4/14/2020 5:21 PM] James Sartell: Remote access, sustained internet access, ability to transfer phones etc.

[4/14/2020 5:25 PM] Laura Shifrin: Should be addressed now but it would be on going

[4/14/2020 5:29 PM] Beth Faxon: infographic signage?

[4/14/2020 5:30 PM] Laura Shifrin: Mung is high in Fitchburg...I really think MRPC got it wrong and I told them.

[4/14/2020 5:31 PM] Laura Shifrin: We have a lack of housing even regionally...just ask the fire chief it is real

[4/14/2020 5:32 PM] Laura Shifrin: Good question!

[4/14/2020 5:35 PM] Laura Shifrin: Medium>we seemed to take care of it in the past with the school...but the future is what needs assessmengt

[4/14/2020 5:36 PM] Laura Shifrin: Long term?

[4/14/2020 5:37 PM] Laura Shifrin: High...we need economic

[4/14/2020 5:37 PM] Veronica Kell: I am getting off the call as I have another webinar at 6 pm. Thank you.

[4/14/2020 5:37 PM] Thanks for attending Veronica!

[4/14/2020 5:38 PM] Laura Shifrin: High yes we need a better system for our staff at town hall to be able to work during these times

[4/14/2020 5:38 PM] Laura Shifrin: yesterday

[4/14/2020 5:39 PM] Laura Shifrin: Yes

[4/14/2020 5:39 PM] Laura Shifrin: yes

[4/14/2020 5:39 PM] Hillary King:

if helpful, the state has a list of approved vendors that can help with foreign language interpretation and translatoin services

[4/14/2020 5:39 PM] Hillary King: https://www.mass.gov/doc/prf63/download

[4/14/2020 5:40 PM] Beth Faxon: Thank you Hillary! Thank you Adria and Amanda!

[4/14/2020 5:40 PM] Hillary King: Great work everyone!

[4/14/2020 5:40 PM] Laura Shifrin: Thank you!

[4/14/2020 5:40 PM] Thank you all for attending!

[4/14/2020 5:40 PM] James Sartell: Thanks

[4/14/2020 5:40 PM] Lance and Susan: Thanks as well

[4/14/2020 5:40 PM] Boynton, Adria: Thank you everyone for participating!

[4/14/2020 5:41 PM] Hillary King:

be sure to stay involved and share the listening session information with your neighbors! Thanks!

Town of Townsend Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Planning Workshop Invitees

| Group | Invitee | Title | Organization |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | James M, Kreidler, Jr. | Town Administrator | Administration |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Carolyn Smart | Executive Assistant | Administration |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Mark Boynton | Fire Chief | Fire Department |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Jay Sartell | Chief of Police, Interim | Police Department |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Carla Walter | Health Administrator | Board of Health |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Janet Leavitt | Administrator | Building Department |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Bentley Herget | Building Commissioner (Interim) | Building Department |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | James Smith | Superintendent | Highway Department |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Dave Henkels | Conservation Administrator | Conservation Department |
| | | | ^ |
| | | | ^ |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Shirley Coit | Director | TEMA |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Tom Whittier | Deputy Director | TEMA |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Beth Faxon | Administrator | Planning Board and Zoning Board of Appeals |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Lance McNally | Chair | Planning Board |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Laurie Shifrin | Vice Chair | Planning Board |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Veronica Kell | Clerk | Planning Board |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Charles Sexton-Diranian | Member | Planning Board |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Jerrilyn Bozicas | Member | Planning Board |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Rebecca (Becky) MicEnroe | Water Superintendent, Interim | Water Division |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Carol Hollses | Associate Member | Planning Board |
| Board/Committee/Town Stall | Susari Michally | Trustee | Library Trustees |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Robert Templeton | Trustee | Library Trustees |
| Board/Committee/Town Stall | Depeld Measurese | Wardon | Tree Worden |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Bonard Massucco | Superintendent | Cemertary & Parks Department |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Wayne Miller | Elected Board Member, Chairman | Board of Selectmen |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Don Klein | Elected Board Member, Vice-Chairman | Board of Selectmen |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Rebecca (Becky) McEnroe | Director, Interim | Water and Sewer |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Jessica Eunaiole | Assisstant Town Clerk | Asst. Town Clerk |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Kathleen Spofford | Town Clerk | Town Clerk |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Rick Metcalf | Nashoba Sanitarian | Health Department |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Chaz Sexton-Diranian | Chair. State Representative | Housing Authority |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Laura Shifrin | Vice Chair | Housing Authority |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Jane Jackson | Chair | Council on Aging |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Valerie Adams | Vice Chair | Council on Aging |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Alisa Struthers | Chair | Historic District Commission |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Brent Carney | Chairman | Energy Committee |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Emy Hoff | Director | Recreation Commission |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Lynn Pinkerton | Chair | Finance Committee |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Jerrilyn Bozicas | Vice-Chair | Finance Committee |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Stacy Schuttler | Director | Townsend Public Library |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Joseph Mazzola | Veteran's Agent | Veterans Affairs |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Karin Canfield Moore | Director | Senior Center |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Mark Hussey | Chairman | Capital Planning Committee |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Christopher Nocella | Vice-Chairman | Capital Planning Committee |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Joseph Shank | Chairman | DPW Exploratory Committee |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Mike MacEachern | Chairman | Board of Water Commissioners |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Nathan Mattila | Vice-Chairman | Board of Water Commissioners |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Kym Craven | Chair | Masterplan Committee |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Mike Turgeon | Appointed Board Member, Chair | Conservation Commission |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | John Hussey | Appointed Board Member, Clerk | Conservation Commission |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Bill Cadogan | Appointed Board Member, Chair | Zoning Board of Appeals |
| Board/Committee/Town Stall | Vielev Jepieki | Appointed Board Member, Vice Chair | Zoning Board of Appeals |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Linda Durotto | Appointed Board Member | Zoming Board of Appeals |
| Board/Committee/Town Staff | Loigh Roddin | Administrator | Highway Department & Concentration Department |
| Local Leaders/Organization Reps | Volunteers | teo-ma org | Townsend Ecumenical Outreach - "82" |
| Local Leaders/Organization Reps | Kory Eng | President | United Way of North Central MA |
| 200al 200a0io, organization hopo | itory eng | 1 ISBROIN | ^ |
| Local Leaders/Organization Reps | Veronica Kell | President | Townsend Conservation Land Trust |
| Local Leaders/Orgs rep. | Gene Rauhala | Member | Townsend Conservation Land Trust |
| Local Leaders/Organization Reps | John Hume | Planning and Development Director | Montachusett Regional Planning Commission |
| Local Leaders/Organization Reps | Joan Wotkowicz | Clerk | Squannacook Greenways, Inc. |
| Local Leaders/Organization Reps | Jennifer Pedit | Citizen Scientist | specialist: Turtles and their Natural habitat |
| Local Leaders/Organization Reps | Elizabeth Ainsley Campbell | Executive Director | Nashua River Watershed Association |
| Local Leaders/Organization Reps | Al Futterman | Land Programs and Outreach Director | Nashua River Watershed Association |
| Local Leaders/Organization Reps | Cynthia | membership | Townsend Business Association |
| Local Leaders/Organization Reps | Taber Morrell | Site Administrator | Townsend Historical Society |
| Local Leaders/Organization Reps | Matt Crean | President | Townsend-Ashby Youth Soccer Association |
| | James Gates | | Townsend-Ashby Youth Soccer Association |
| Local Leaders/Organization Reps | Keith Turgeon | President | Townsend-Ashby Youth Baseball and Softball Assoc. |
| Local leaders/Organzation Reps | Jason Webster | Principal | Hawthorne Brook Middle School |
| Local leaders/Organzation Reps | Timothy McMahon | Principal | North Middlesex Regional High School |
| Local Leaders/Organization RepsDiar | ne Carson | Nashoba Paddler, LLC | Co-Owner Nashoba Paddler, LLC |
| Local Leaders/Organization Reps | Raynold Jackson | Land Steward and Trails Maintainer | Society for the Protection of NH Forests |
| Local Leaders/Organization Reps | Richard Bailey | Former Chief of Police | Townsend Police Department |
| Local Leaders/Organization Reps | Anne Gagnon | DFG Land Agent | Masswildlife |
| Local Leaders/Organization Reps | Dr. Denise Pigeon | Superintendent | INASHODA VAILEY TECCHNICAL High School |
| Local Leaders/Org Reps | Sue LISIO | Former selectboard member | Former Board of Selectmen |
| Local Leaders/Org. reps | Nate GuziejKa Stop Dillio | Mambar | Spauluing Memorial School |
| Local Leaders/Org. reps | Stall Dillis | wennder | Cable Advisory Committee |

| Local Leaders/Organization Reps | Joanna Carpentier | representative | Nashoba Valley Technical School District Committee |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| | | | |
| State and Regional | Dean A. Tran | State Senator, 2nd District | Massachusetts Senate |
| State and Regional | Hillary King | MVP Regional Coordinator | EEA |
| State and Regional | Lori Trahan | Congresswoman, 3rd District | US House of Representatives |
| State and Regional | Sheila Harrington | State Representative, 1st Middlesex District | Massachusetts House of Representatives |
| State and Regional | Terrence W. Kennedy | Governor's Councilor, 6th District | MA Governor's Council |
| State and Regional | Melissa Fetterhoff | President & CEO | Nashoba Valley Chamber of Commerce |
| State and Regional | David Gray | Office of Ecosystem Protection | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency |
| State and Regional | Melaney Cheeseman | Endangered Species Review Assistant | Natural Heritage Endangered Species Program |
| State and Regional | Denise Childs | Regional coordinator | MA Department of Environmental Protection |
| State and Regional | Kimberly Roth | Environmental Analyst | MA DEP, Central Regional Office |
| State and Regional | Anita Wysocki | Campground coordinator | DCR |
| State and Regional | Hillary King | MVP Regional Coordinator | EEA |
| State and Regional | Jeff Zukowski | Hazard Mitigation Planner | MEMA |
| Adjacent Towns | Lisa Davis | Planning Board Advisor | Pepperell |
| Adjacent Towns | Takashi Tada | Land Use Director/Town Planner | Groton |
| Adjacent Towns | Sarah Widing | Planning Board Chair | Shirley |
| Adjacent Towns | Adam Burney | Land Use Director | Lunenburg |
| Adjacent Towns | Alan Pease | Planning Board Member | Ashby |
| Adjacent Towns | Scott MacGarvey | Planning Board Chair | Mason (NH) |
| Adjacent Towns | Kristen Austin | Conservation Commission | Brookline (NH) |
| Adjacent Towns | Valerie Rearick | Town Planner | Brookline (NH) |

| Community Resilience Building R | isk Matrix | · 🗖 | | 9 | | www.Community | ResilienceBuildin | g.org | |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|----------|---|---|---|--|------------------------|--|
| H-M-L priority for action over the S hort or L ong ter Y = Vulnerability S = Strength | rm (and <u>O</u> ngoir | ig) | - | Top Priority Hazards (* Extreme | tornado, floods, wildfire, h | urricanes, earthquake, dro Drought and | ught, sea level rise, heat wa Severe Weather (Snowstorms, | eve, etc.) Priority | Time Short Long |
| Features | Location | Ownership | V or S | Temperatures | | Brush Fire | Thunderstorms, Tornadoes) | H-W-L | O ngoing |
| Infrastructural Culverts and stormwater drainage | Town-wide | Public | V/S | study culverts, compare to n particular attention to Town Coppersmith Way Developmer of work at Coppersmith Way. A a requirement for measuring it (dention pond, biorention) bu | new design critieria, identify whi wells (remove road pollutants fr at is an example of rain gardens, tassess if system is working as it v is efficacy over time. Subdivision at there's no requirement for mo | ch might be at risk. Rain gardens om watershed areas. Must also r bioretention cells, water quality was designed to work. PB - any w regulations require maintenanc nitoring the effectiveness. That o | to filter storm drainage, with educe the amount of road salt). swales. Engineering assessment rork that is installed should have e of private stormwater systems ould be an effective regulation. | М | 0 |
| Roads and bridges | Town-wide | Municipal, State | v/s | Must pr Cloudburst streets. PB will h walkability/bikability in the ce sidewalks with creative storage fo implemented near the river, in the raised, where the bridge is that g roads (coordinate with below- using pervit | eriodically check operations and opefully have studies done for enter of Town. Could incorporate or runoff. These strategies would be floodplain. Meadow Road could be oes over Hawthorne Brook. Raising grade utilities) in low-lying places, use pavement. | make sure they re operating as. | lesigned. Increase regular tree maintenance to protect roads and electric/communication infrastructure. | М | 0 |
| Dams | Town-wide | Municipal, State, and Private | v | Assessment. Gather data on wi Consider pros and cons of keep areas. Town could pursue land a are re | hether dams are a hazard or not. bing or removing dams/restoring cquisition in the floodplain if dams emoved. | | | Н | S - assessmen O/L - land |
| Electricity and communication infrastructure | Town-wide | Unitil | v | Solar tarms: investigate solar panels on Town buildings, parking areas at the high school or behind the library. Add solar that's managed by the Town. Include battery storage. Consider BL charging stations: | | Tree maintenance. Add repeat increase reliability. Assess v serviced by internet. Assess r interference of emergency cr charging devices, access for pu network or access to cell | ers to communication system to what resident's homes are not isk to cell tower - any potential mmunications. AM networks, olic safety (through public safety towers on wheels CTOW). | н | phased S- assessment L- implement |
| Private wells and septic systems | Town-wide | Private | V/S | | Assess current zoning and B is and sustainable development. Maintaining your septic syste septic tank is pumped, the re where private wells would drainage. Recharge areas for th to track reporting. Increase pul and arsenic in public wells. A water supp | UH regulations for best practice Public education and outreach. m. BOH regulation that, when a port is sent to the BOH. Assess be impacted by stormwater hese private wells. Consider cost blic awareness related to testing usessment - know where your ly has issues | | М | S - short term, O - other |
| Public Water Supply | Town-wide (1200 connections) | Municipal | v/s | | Do a study to look at where the well floor levels are compared to new floodplain information. See if raising the well stations is in order. Get additional generators. | Ensure water supply during times of drought. Aquifer studies, impact of drought on ground and surface water supply. Townend has an interconnect with Pepperell, but that's also a groundwater system. Connect with a larger surface water and they have a lot of capacity). Study obser frashibe connections. Study possibility of the town aquiring land surremodine town wells | | Н | S - study, L generators design, purchasing |
| Societal | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | |
| At risk of isolation (could include seniors, children, young adults, people who are disabled) | Town-wide | N/A | v | In-home visitation services. Medical visits and check-ins. | | | | н | S/0 |
| Non-english speakers | Town-wide | N/A | v | Language support for add classes at the Senior Cen Translating documents or e more time to create a colla Language lines, having | ults, classes taught by local cle ter. Access resources available emergency communications ir boration to make translation g translators ready to translate | ergy. Library program for adu e to Townsend in neighboring ito other languages (Arabic, S efforts ongoing and reduce bu e materials in a crisis, develop | It language classes, or host communities (Fitchburg). panish). Access to funding or rden on current translators. ing infographic signage. | Н | 0 |
| Unable to prepare or adapt (could include low income residents and those in low income housing) | Town-wide | N/A | v | | flood protection strategies for housing along the river. | Increase and support volunt subsidized housing. Infrast Assess options for a formal help. Increase connectiv others: community dinners other residents and identify opportunities, incluc concerts/Earth Day/Petti resumes for jobs. Solar ene Include more services tr affordable bo | eers who support residents in ucture, access grant funding, program with Town staff to through local churches, meet local resources. Funding for local resources. Funding for ing vouchers for band ng Zoo. Support in creating gy for apartment complexes, the community in future using nonedext. | М | O (S- could launch a program quickly to get momentum and show progress) |
| Shelters | Hawthorne Brook Middle School. St. | Municipal | v | Cooling centers. Transportation to shelters | | | Assess/inventory supplies and storage capacity at shelters to identify | м | L |
| Local businesses (including local farms) | Iohn's Church Town-wide | Private | V/S | Continue to increase con | nectivity for new businesses in | n Town. An economic center to | shortages. help make business plans. | н | L |
| Municipal buildings and services (Police, Fire, Highway Department) | Town-wide | Municipal | V/S | nav | e a local runnier to locus on s | apporting economic revianz | Assess risk of extreme storms at each location and options for adaptation. Additional staff, training, adapternt Towns, improve use of communication use of the communication sublity to transfer phones). Consider options for interconnectivity and regional collaboration (for eample, regionalized police services with opportunities for training and growth). | Н | s |
| Food security (supply, delivery, and local farmers) | Town-wide | N/A | V/S | | | Create Agricultural advisory committee and organize farmers markets & public outreach for the gardening programs Kym is referring to | Food bank needs a generator and walk-in fridgerator (in one centralized location). Turn land into victory garden- style space for apartment residents. Develop programs and incentives for local farms and farmstande | н | S |
| Environmental | | | | | | | | | |
| Forests | Townsend State Forest, and other | Multiple | s | Study existing species as part of a forest health assessment. Study species that could tolerate warmer conditions. Scenic Road Act: roads (not 119 a | Floodplain forest: trees provide natural resource/solutions benefit. Encroachment of development on these resources is a concern. nd 13) are scenic. To remove trees, | Assessment of fire risk. Evaluate response to fire - do we have adequate water resources? Community outreach and education related to fire safety. | | М | 0 |
| Street trees | Town-wide | | V/S | must come before the PB. Perr replacement to provide shade if families, kids, community group Increase public awareness of Scen air temperature. Consider approy new street trees with adequate plantin | mitting: think about regular tree 'trees are removed elsewhere. Get is involved. Start town Committee. hic Roads Act. Trees can help reduce priate replacement species. Design planters, root space, continuous g subsoil. | | Maintain trees by trimming more often. | М | 0 |
| Parks and open space | Town-wide | Multiple | s | quality. Work with Recreatio they're not currently covered is for Howard Park - assess o | n Commission. Include parks if n their plans. Beaver assessment options for beaver deceivers. | | | М | 0 |
| Waterbodies and wetlands | Squannacook River and other | Multiple | s | Protect our wetlands. Look at sure the development we allov purchasing, land acquisi stabilization to p | t development upstream. Make w protects the wetlands. Zoning, tion. Bank restoration and rotect waterways. | | | н | S to begin with (inventory what we have, zoning) |
| Future development - residential, commercial, resource development (rail trail), Downtown. Town land use and zoning opportunities. Utilize ecosystem services in new development. Invasive species and vector-borne diseases | Town-wide Town-wide | Private N/A | s/v v | Aquifer Protection protects again Overlay district, but not resident district. How else can we protect supply? Study options for reggin conservation reggi, everything e things to be waived and where d LID development, considerate of du- prome arcans be considerate of du- encourage development outside - for development outside for development and awarene groups. Reduce mosquito habita | nst commercial uses in the Aquifer till uses. Townsend has an overlap the aquifers and the town's water lations and waivers. Subdivision, an be waived. Where do we allow o we not? Study options for zoning, sfer of development rights. Flood- veclopment overlapping with hoses generative study of the study of the of the floodplain? Design guidelines generat. See State Statianable ss related to tick exposure and prob through outreach and removing st for more | ection. Pursue removal of invasive anding water. Catchbastins can also sequitor. | pocies with volunteers and local lead to standing water and habitat | Н | S O |
| | | | | Community education, share regular | webinars on topics related to open spac maintain these resources, how | e resources, how to use volunteers, hov v to use the trails, fire safety, etc. | to collaborate/integrate with staff that | | |

Appendix D

Listening Session



MAY 13TH AT 7:00PM HAZARD MITIGATION & CLIMATE ADAPTATION COVID-19 FRIENDLY VIRTUAL GATHERING



Join us to hear about Townsend's hazard mitigation and climate adaptation planning process.

We'll also be looking to hear your experiences and ideas!



Please register at: tinyurl.com/TownsendMVPWebinar

Registrations will be accepted until noon on May 12th. Upon registration, you will receive a link to the online meeting.

Please reach out if you have questions or barriers to participating.

Beth Faxon, Planning Board and Zoning Board of Appeals Administrator <u>bfaxon@townsend.ma.us</u>



This project was funded through the Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness (MVP) Planning Grant

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Town of Townsend Seeks Public Input for the Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness (MVP) and Hazard Mitigation Plan (HMP) Project

Townsend's responses to previous extreme weather events, as well as the community's current response to the COVID-19 crisis, illustrate the Town's resilience. The Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness (MVP) and Hazard Mitigation Plan (HMP) Project seeks to document historic successes and challenges related to emergency preparedness, to help develop better solutions for tomorrow's risks. The community's input on this process is essential.

The Town of Townsend is identifying projects that could reduce the impacts from flooding, extreme temperatures, and severe weather events. The Town is also considering the likelihood of experiencing more severe natural hazards more frequently due to projected climate change. This planning process explores three topic areas; including infrastructure assets, community resilience, and environmental resources.

Until we can meet in person, we will connect as a community online. The Town is preparing to host a virtual Public Listening Session on May 13th at 7:00PM. For residents without internet connections, wifi will be available at the Townsend Public Library parking lot. A recording of the webinar will be posted on the Town's website, along with a link to an online survey to capture additional public input. Follow the Townsend Public Library Facebook Page for social media updates on this process. You can share, like, and comment on our posts using #TownsendMVP.

This MVP-HMP project is funded through a \$22,000 grant award from the Commonwealth's <u>Municipal</u> <u>Vulnerability Preparedness (MVP) Planning Grant program</u>, which provides technical and financial support for cities and towns to plan for climate change and implement the results. As part of this process, Townsend will also fulfill the <u>Federal Emergency Management Agency's (FEMA) requirements</u> to update the Town's regional Hazard Mitigation Plan (HMP) from 2015. This HMP update will maintain the Town's eligibility for federal grant funding from FEMA.

If you would like to receive updates on this project, please email your contact information to Beth Faxon, Planning Board and Zoning Board of Appeals Administrator for the Town of Townsend (bfaxon@townsend.ma.us).



WEBINAR LOGISTICS • This webinar is being **recorded** Overview of Skype Tell us what your favorite thing is about Townsend in the chat! • The webinar is paired with an **online survey** • A link is included at the end of the presentation 4

1



WEBINAR OUTLINE

- Overview of MVP and HMP Overview of Climate Change

- Strengths and Vulnerabilities
 Priority Action Items
 Next Steps
 Tell us about your climate adaptation
 priorities by laking our colline surveyl













.... **MVP Process** 1. MVP Planning Grant Define climate hazards Identify community vulnerabilities STEP 2: ACTION GRANT . and strengths Develop and prioritize adaptation actions
Receive MVP designation STEP 1 PLANNING GRANT * We're also updating Townsend's Hazard Mitigation Plan! 2. MVP Action Grant . Implement priority adaptation actions identified during the planning process 8













































| •• | •• INFRASTRUCTURE |
|----|---|
| V | Inerabilities |
| | Culverts and stormwater drainage is undersized |
| ٠ | Stormwater pollution from roadways |
| • | Unknown effectiveness of stormwater best management practices and regulations |
| • | Meadow Road bridge floods |
| • | Roadways in West Townsend often flood |
| • | Downed powerlines from tree damage during storms |
| • | Communication infrastructure lacks redundancy |
| • | Unknown conditions of dams |
| • | Private septic systems are not always properly maintained |
| • | Possible surface water or ground water pollution in drinking water wells |
| • | Three out of five of the water supply wells don't have a generator |
| • | Public wells are at risk of flooding |
| • | Wells are at risk of drying up during droughts |












HIGH PRIORITIES: INFRASTRUCTURE

- Assess dams, gather data on hazardous dams, consider benefits of keeping or removing dams, and consider land acquisition
- Investigate options for solar panels and include battery storage
- Consider options for EV charging stations
- Tree maintenance
- · Add repeaters to communication system to increase reliability
- · Assess what resident's homes are not serviced by internet
- Assess risk to cell tower
- Study well floor levels compared to floodplain information and get additional generators.
- Ensure water supply during drought through aquifer studies and assessing drought impacts on ground and surface water supply. Study options to connect with a larger surface water system and the possibility of land acquisition

37



40

HIGH PRIORITIES: ENVIRONMENT Assess options for protecting wetlands, including studying development upstream and assessing options for zoning, purchasing, and land acquisition Protect waterways through bank restoration and stabilization Study options for protecting aquifers and the town's water supply, including options for regulations, waivers, zoning, low impact development, transfer of development rights Limit or do not allow building in the floodplain. Assess options for encouraging development outside of the floodplain, including by producing design guidelines for development

38





39

Townsend Listening Session Webinar

Hazard Mitigation & Climate Adaptation Virtual Gathering

Attendees (23)

- 1. Kim Craven (phone)
- 2. Cindy King
- 3. Don Klein
- 4. Beth Faxon
- 5. Ken
- 6. Paul Boundy
- 7. Cindy Boundy
- 8. Paul Premo
- 9. Tom Maloney
- 10. Veronica Kell
- 11. Laura Shifrin
- 12. Carol Hoffses
- 13. Chaz Sexton-Diranian
- 14. Amanda Kohn (W&S)
- 15. Adria Boynton (W&S)
- 16. Tom Maloney
- 17. Lynn Pinkerton
- 18. Carolyn Matthews
- 19. Bill Cadogan
- 20. Sarah Edrie
- 21. Linda Vincent
- 22. Laura Shifrin
- 23. Audrey Lok

Meeting Notes

Favorite Thing about Townsend

- The People
- The Common
- Neighborhood I live in
- Grandchildren
- Library
- Community
- Weather

Comments -

• Great chart! (comment on MVP-HMP overlap)

Hazards

- Power outage
- Flooding
 - South Street at Rte 119 was extremely vulnerable until the series of concrete culverts were put in around ten years ago
- Water supply shallow wells and cross street well is out of service
- Would street cracking be due to underwater leakage?
- mothers day storm, 2007 I believe was the worst
- Infrastructure being able to meet needs
 - o Water, power, roads

Comments

- Concern about economy and COVID-19, but possibly Townsend could see growth because it's a nice place to live and work from home.
- Wifi bandwidth is not consistent. So even when people have access its not equal across the board. There is only one provider (Comcast).
- Could the town consider having it's own internet service? Like Shrewsbury.
- Could possibly do electric too, but its complicated.
- Outsourcing our Water Department billing hasn't been an improvement.
- Should talk with Chamber of Commerce to get businesses involved and the economic development take on climate resilience

Meeting Chat

Boynton, Adria 6:52 PM:

Welcome to Townsend's Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Virtual Gathering!

Boynton, Adria 6:53 PM:

Feel free to test the chat function and your audio as you join the call by introducing yourself and telling us what your favorite thing is about Townsend!

Paul & Cindy Boundy 6:58 PM:

Paul & Cindy can hear you very well.

Boynton, Adria 7:00 PM:

Great, thanks for joining us tonight! What's your favorite thing about Townsend?

Paul Premo 7:00 PM:

The neighborhood I live in

Veronica Kell 7:01 PM:

I love the library!

Laura Shifrin 7:02 PM:

Laura Shifrin....community

Kohn, Amanda 7:04 PM:

Hi! My email is kohn.amanda@wseinc.com

Bill Cadogan 7:06 PM:

the weather!

Kohn, Amanda 7:08 PM:

Thanks, Adria!

Ken 7:11 PM:

That's a great chart

CK 7:20 PM:

power outage

Ken 7:20 PM:

Flooding

Ken 7:21 PM:

my audio isn't working but South Street at Rte 119 was extremely vulnerable until the series of concrete culverts were put in around ten years ago

Paul & Cindy Boundy 7:21 PM:

Infrastructure being able to meet needs Water power roads

Ken 7:22 PM:

mothers day storm, 2007 I believe was the worst

Linda Vincent 7:22 PM:

Would street cracking be due to underwater leakage?

Kohn, Amanda 7:23 PM:

You can also tell us through the survey about your experiences that we'll share a link to at the end of the powerpoint!

Paul Premo 7:29 PM:

Will a copy of the presentation be available after?

Paul Premo 7:29 PM:

THanks

Kohn, Amanda 7:29 PM:

We can also send the powerpoint to the rsvp list!

Kohn, Amanda 7:41 PM:

Please remember there is survey for all board members to provide input. You can also use my email <u>kohn.amanda@wseinc.com</u>

Kohn, Amanda 7:44 PM:

http://tinyurl.com/TownsendMVPSurvey2

Paul Premo 7:45 PM:

Shrewbury does

Paul Premo 7:45 PM:

Shrewsbury sorry for the spelling error

Linda Vincent 7:51 PM:

Thank you for a wonderful presentation!

Audrey Lok 7:51 PM:

Thank you.

Veronica Kell 7:51 PM:

Thank you!

Paul & Cindy Boundy 7:51 PM:

Thank you very informative

Boynton, Adria 7:52 PM:

Thank you everyone for joining!

Paul Premo 7:52 PM:

Outsourcing our Water Department billing hasn't been an improvement.

Ken 7:52 PM:

Thank you, great presentation

Paul Premo 7:52 PM:

Thank you.

CK 7:52 PM:

thanks

Bill Cadogan 7:52 PM:

Thanks!

E. Faxon 7:54 PM:

thank you very much!! :)

Kohn, Amanda 7:54 PM:

Thank you Beth!

Boynton, Adria 7:54 PM:

Thanks so much Beth! We're exciting to see the feedback from the survey!

E. Faxon 7:55 PM:

yes!! we will as well!!

E. Faxon 7:55 PM:

Thank you Amanda!

Chaz 7:56 PM:

Thank you Adria and Beth, as always thank you for everything you do for us! I appreciate you!

Boynton, Adria 7:56 PM:

Thank you for joining the call and participating, Chaz!

E. Faxon 7:57 PM:

yes, it is great to have you here! :)

E. Faxon 7:58 PM:

Have a great evening All excellent presentation!!

Boynton, Adria 7:58 PM:

Thank you Beth! Have a good night and thanks for joining us!



Townsend Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation

The Town of Townsend is seeking your ideas to improve our hazard mitigation and climate adaptation planning process. If you have additional input, questions, or barriers to participating, please contact Beth Faxon, Planning Board and Zoning Board of Appeals Administrator, at <u>bfaxon@townsend.ma.us</u>.

1. Townsend has identified the following hazards as being particularly relevant to the Town. What hazard are you most concerned about?

| Concern | Hazard of most concern | Hazard of significant concern | Hazard of some concern | Hazard of least concern |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Extreme temperatures | C | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flooding | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Severe storms (snowstorms and wind) | ۲ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Drought and brush fire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

 How have these hazards impacted you impacted you personally or the broader community? Examples of impacts could include the events listed below: - Frequently flooded local roads -Drought in 2016 - The four Nor'easters in March 2018.



| 3. | How pre | pared do v | /ou feel 1 | Fownsend | is for future | extreme | events? |
|-----|---------|------------|------------|----------|-----------------|------------|---------|
| • • | | 000.00.000 | | | 10 101 101001 0 | 0/10/01/10 | 0.0 |

| | 0 | Very prepared |
|----|----|---|
| | 0 | Somewhat prepared |
| | 0 | Not prepared |
| | 0 | Other |
| | | |
| 4. | Wh | at steps have you already taken to prepare for extreme events? |
| | | I have a kit in case of emergencies (which may include food, water, flashlights, batteries, and other supplies) |
| | | I receive news, updates, and information about emergency preparedness |
| | | I know where the nearest emergency shelter is |
| | | Other |
| | | |
| 5. | Wh | at resources do you need to feel more prepared? |
| | | |
| 6. | Wh | at would you consider Townsend's greatest vulnerability? |
| | 0 | Flooding of roadways |

- C Critical services and equipment that need back up power
- C Encroachment of development on natural resources
- C Drinking water supply (which can be impacted by both droughts and floods)

- Vulnerable residents
- Other
- 7. What is Townsend's greatest strength considering climate resilience?
 - Municipal and emergency services
 - C The existing stormwater system
 - Tree canopy from forests and street trees
 - Wetlands, which can provide water storage
 - Community support and volunteers
 - Other
- 8. How should Townsend prioritize climate adaptation measures?
 - Based on funding
 - C Time frame
 - Asset type (including infrastructure, buildings, or natural systems)
 - Impact on public safety
 - Other
- 9. Rank the following priorities from highest priority to lowest priority.

- Conduct a vulnerability assessment related to the impact of climate hazards on Townsend's wells and water supply
- Protect wetlands and waterways through restoration and land acquisition
- Translate emergency communications into other languages and develop infographic signage
- Increase communication resilience by adding repeaters to increase redundancy, assessing areas of Town not serviced by the internet, and assessing risks to the cell tower
- Increase energy resilience through EV charging stations and by investigating locations for solar panels
- Amend bylaws and regulations to better protect natural resources and incentivize resilient development
- 10. What other climate adaptation or hazard mitigation measures should be taken in Townsend in the next five years?



11. Are there any additional comments or questions you would like to share?



12. Thank you for completing the survey. Please enter your email address if you'd like to receive updates on climate adaptation and hazard mitigation projects in Townsend.





55 Walkers Brook Drive, Suite 100, Reading, MA 01867 Tel: 978.532.1900

Townsend MVP Survey

Summary of Survey Results and Public Comments

Introduction

The Town of Townsend was awarded a Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness (MVP) Planning Grant to improve the Town's resilience to climate change and to mitigate natural hazards. The MVP Program aims to provide technical and financial support for cities and towns across the Commonwealth to plan for, and mitigate the impacts from, climate change. As part of the virtual Public Listening Session, the project team shared a survey to collect public feedback related to climate hazards, strengths, vulnerabilities, and priority adaptation action items. Key information related to the results of this survey are summarized below:

- The survey was accessible on the Microsoft Forms website from May 13-May 29, 2020.
- A link to the online survey was shared on May 13, 2020 during the virtual Public Listening Session and was also advertised through the following means:
 - o Announcement shared on Channel 9, the local cable channel
 - o Posted on the Planning Board webpage
 - o Posted on the Townsend Business Association webpage
 - o Shared in an email blast to the stakeholder list
- The project team received 33 online responses.

The following summary provides an overview of the survey responses, along with key findings and recommendations for using this information. A spreadsheet of short-answer responses from survey participants, along with a copy of the original survey, are included as attachments to this document.



Survey Results

What hazard are you most concerned about?

• Survey results suggest that severe storms (including snowstorms and wind) are hazards of most concern

• Flooding, drought, and brushfires are hazards of secondary concern among residents

• Extreme temperatures are hazards of least concern

How prepared do you feel Townsend is for future extreme events?



What steps have you already taken to prepare for extreme events?



What would you consider Townsend's greatest vulnerability?



What is Townsend's greatest strength considering climate resilience?



How should Townsend prioritize climate adaptation measures?







Rank the following priorities from highest priority to lowest priority.



The survey results suggest that protecting wetlands and waterways through restoration and land acquisition, translating emergency communications into other languages and developing infographic signage, and conducting a vulnerability assessment on Townsend's wells and water supply are the three top priorities for residents. Amending bylaws and regulations to better protect natural resources and incentivize resilient development were ranked by respondents as the lowest priority.

Summary of short-answer responses:

How have these hazards impacted you impacted you personally or the broader community? Examples of impacts could include frequently flooded local roads, the drought in 2016, and the four Nor'easters in March 2018.

• Winter storms (ice storms, snowstorm, Nor'easters) and associated power outages appear to have the greatest impact on the residents of Townsend. Twenty-one out of thirty responses cite these hazards. The next commonly mentioned hazard was flooding, which has caused both residential and commercial property damage. Additionally, two residents cited the impacts of extreme heat.

What resources do you need to feel more prepared?

• Most of the responses discussed improving town-level organization on climate adaptation and communication from the Town through social media, mail, and email. The participants mentioned that more efficient emergency communication about shelter location, contents of emergency kit, and



emergency preparedness information system is needed in the Town. About 50% of respondents cited uninterrupted power and internet connection as two important resources that residents need to feel prepared for extreme events.

What other climate adaptation or hazard mitigation measures should be taken in Townsend in the next five years?

- The primary measures according to participants should include the following:
 - A more reliable infrastructure for utility services so that there are less frequent power cuts. Two participants suggested a Town-owned utility company. Backup generators for the cooling stations were also suggested.
 - Preservation of natural resources. Acquiring land, increasing tree canopies, improving tree maintenance, and reducing the use of pesticides to protect the environment were among the suggestions.
 - Stormwater and sewer management to mitigate flooding. Replacing culverts, cleaning culverts and storm drains, installing rain gardens were among the suggestions.
 - Dam maintenance and dam removal. Regular inspection and repair/reinforcement of dams or safe precautionary removal of dams was suggested by one participant.

Do you have any other questions or comments? Did you answer "Other" on any of the questions above? If so, please describe below.

• Participants emphasized local vulnerabilities and the need to address challenges including poor power supply and internet connections, lack of a well-informed volunteer base, lack of communication, and excessive tree cutting.

Key Findings & Next Steps

As the pie charts and bar graphs indicate, severe storms are the main concerns for residents as they lead to power outages for days. Lack of backup power in critical resources, developments on natural resources, and quality of drinking water supply are among the Town's vulnerabilities. Conversely, wetlands that provide water storage were identified as the Town's greatest strength. According to participants, climate adaption measures should primarily focus on the impact of extreme events on





public safety. The Town also needs a reliable source of uninterrupted power supply and better internet/cable connections.

The project team should use the findings of this survey to:

- Address climate hazards such as extreme storms, flooding, and drought
- Pursue funding for climate adaptation projects related to:
 - Addressing challenges related to utility and drainage infrastructure, and emergency management.
 - o Protecting wetlands and waterways through restoration and land acquisition
 - Translating emergency communications into other languages and developing infographic signage
 - o Conducting a vulnerability assessment on Townsend's wells and water supply
- Share more information with the public, especially vulnerable populations, related to emergency preparedness, evacuation routes, and shelters.
- Share more information online, including through the Town's website and social media platforms.
- Use the email addresses collected to start a climate resilience listserv. Additionally, the next public meeting should be advertised via email to respondents who shared their contact information.

Attachments

- Attachment A: Townsend Survey
- Attachment B: Short Answer Responses Spreadsheet
- Attachment C: Email Addresses



Appendix E

Plan Adoption





OFFICE OF THE BOARD OF SELECTMEN Veronica Kell, Chairman Wayne Miller, Vice Chairman Joseph Shank, Clerk

James M. Kreidler, Jr. Town Administrator

CERTIFICATE OF ADOPTION BOARD OF SELECTMEN TOWN OF TOWNSEND, MASSACHUSETTS

A RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE TOWN OF TOWNSEND 2020 HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN AND MUNICIPAL VULNERABILITY PREPAREDNESS PLAN

WHEREAS, the Town of Townsend established a Committee to prepare the Town of Townsend 2020 Hazard Mitigation Plan and Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Town of Townsend 2020 Hazard Mitigation Plan and Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Plan contains several potential future projects to mitigate potential impacts from natural hazards as well as climate change in the Town of Townsend, and

WHEREAS, the public provided input through a duly-noticed listening session and online survey advertised by the local Planning Board on May 13, 2020 and

WHEREAS, the Town of Townsend authorizes responsible departments and/or agencies to execute their responsibilities demonstrated in the plan, and

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Town of Townsend Board of Selectmen adopts the Town of Townsend 2020 Hazard Mitigation Plan and Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Plan, in accordance with M.G.L. 40 §4 or the charter and bylaws of the Town of Townsend.

ADOPTED ON FEBRUARY 16, 2021

Veronica Kell, Chairman

Appendix F

FEMA Approval





U.S. Department of Homeland Security FEMA Region I 99 High Street, Sixth Floor Boston, MA 02110-2132



February 25, 2021

Samantha C. Phillips, Director Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency 400 Worcester Road Framingham, Massachusetts 01702-5399

Dear Director Phillips:

The U.S. Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Region I Mitigation Division has approved the Town of Townsend 2020 Hazard Mitigation Plan – Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness Plan effective **February 24, 2021** through **February 23, 2026** in accordance with the planning requirements of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (Stafford Act), as amended, the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended, and Title 44 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 201.

With this plan approval, the jurisdiction is eligible to apply to the Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency for mitigation grants administered by FEMA. Requests for funding will be evaluated according to the eligibility requirements identified for each of these programs. A specific mitigation activity or project identified in this community's plan may not meet the eligibility requirements for FEMA funding; even eligible mitigation activities or projects are not automatically approved.

The plan must be updated and resubmitted to the FEMA Region I Mitigation Division for approval every five years to remain eligible for FEMA mitigation grant funding.

Thank you for your continued commitment and dedication to risk reduction demonstrated by preparing and adopting a strategy for reducing future disaster losses. Should you have any questions, please contact Melissa Surette at (617) 956-7559 or <u>Melissa.Surette@fema.dhs.gov</u>.

Sincerely,

Paul F. Ford Acting Regional Administrator DHS, FEMA Region I

PFF:ms

cc: Sarah White, State Hazard Mitigation Officer, MEMA Jeffrey Zukowski, Hazard Mitigation Planner, MEMA Beth Dubrawski, Hazard Mitigation Contract Specialist, MEMA